

# Cannabis and Cannabis Use Disorders

## HOW TO RECEIVE CREDIT

- Read the enclosed course.
- Complete the questions at the end of the course.
- Return your completed Answer Sheet/Evaluation to NetCE by mail or fax, or complete online at [www.NetCE.com](http://www.NetCE.com). Your postmark or facsimile date will be used as your completion date.
- Receive your Certificate(s) of Completion by mail, fax, or email.

### Faculty

**Mark Rose, BS, MA, LP**, is a licensed psychologist in the State of Minnesota with a private consulting practice and a medical research analyst with a biomedical communications firm. Earlier healthcare technology assessment work led to medical device and pharmaceutical sector experience in new product development involving cancer ablative devices and pain therapeutics. Along with substantial experience in addiction research, Mr. Rose has contributed to the authorship of numerous papers on CNS, oncology, and other medical disorders. He is the lead author of papers published in peer-reviewed addiction, psychiatry, and pain medicine journals and has written books on prescription opioids and alcoholism published by the Hazelden Foundation. He also serves as an Expert Advisor and Expert Witness to law firms that represent disability claimants or criminal defendants on cases related to chronic pain, psychiatric/substance use disorders, and acute pharmacologic/toxicologic effects. Mr. Rose is on the Board of Directors of the Minneapolis-based International Institute of Anti-Aging Medicine and is a member of several professional organizations.

### Faculty Disclosure

Contributing faculty, Mark Rose, BS, MA, LP, has disclosed no relevant financial relationship with any product manufacturer or service provider mentioned.

### Division Planner

Mark J. Szarejko, DDS, FAGD

### Senior Director of Development and Academic Affairs

Sarah Campbell

### Division Planner/Director Disclosure

The division planner and director have disclosed no relevant financial relationship with any product manufacturer or service provider mentioned.

### Audience

This course is designed for dental professionals who are involved in the evaluation or treatment of persons who use cannabis, either illicitly or as an adjunct to medical treatment.

### Accreditations & Approvals

NetCE is an ADA CERP Recognized Provider.

ADA CERP is a service of the American Dental Association to assist dental professionals in identifying quality providers of continuing dental education. ADA CERP does not approve or endorse individual courses or instructors, nor does it imply acceptance of credit hours by boards of dentistry.

Concerns or complaints about a CE provider may be directed to the provider or to ADA CERP at [www.ada.org/cerp](http://www.ada.org/cerp).



NetCE

Nationally Approved PACE Program  
Provider for FAGD/MAGD credit.

Approval does not imply acceptance by  
any regulatory authority or AGD endorsement.

10/1/2021 to 9/30/2027

Provider ID #217994.

NetCE is a Registered Provider with the Dental Board of California. Provider number RP3841. Completion of this course does not constitute authorization for the attendee to perform any services that he or she is not legally authorized to perform based on his or her permit type.

NetCE is approved as a provider of continuing education by the Florida Board of Dentistry, Provider #50-2405.

### **Designations of Credit**

NetCE designates this activity for 5 continuing education credits.

AGD Subject Code: 157.

This course meets the Dental Board of California's requirements for 5 units of continuing education.

Dental Board of California course #05-3841-00431.

### **About the Sponsor**

The purpose of NetCE is to provide challenging curricula to assist healthcare professionals to raise their levels of expertise while fulfilling their continuing education requirements, thereby improving the quality of healthcare.

Our contributing faculty members have taken care to ensure that the information and recommendations are accurate and compatible with the standards generally accepted at the time of publication. The publisher disclaims any liability, loss or damage incurred as a consequence, directly or indirectly, of the use and application of any of the contents. Participants are cautioned about the potential risk of using limited knowledge when integrating new techniques into practice.

### **Disclosure Statement**

It is the policy of NetCE not to accept commercial support. Furthermore, commercial interests are prohibited from distributing or providing access to this activity to learners.

### **Course Objective**

The purpose of this course is to allow dental professionals to effectively identify and provide appropriate referrals for patients with cannabis use disorders.

### **Learning Objectives**

Upon completion of this course, you should be able to:

1. Review the history of cannabis use and define the concepts of cannabis use disorder and withdrawal.
2. Discuss the epidemiology of cannabis use in the United States, including treatment utilization and risk factors for cannabis use disorders.
3. Outline the pharmacology of cannabis.
4. Review the established and investigational therapeutic uses of cannabis and delta-9-THC.
5. Identify acute effects of cannabis ingestion on both physical and psychological systems.
6. Describe long-term effects of cannabis ingestion and conditions associated with cannabis use, including the associated withdrawal syndrome.
7. Discuss the prognosis and treatment approaches for individuals who misuse cannabis, including considerations for non-English-proficient patients.



Sections marked with this symbol include evidence-based practice recommendations. The level of evidence and/or strength of recommendation, as provided by the evidence-based source, are also included so you may determine the validity or relevance of the information. These sections may be used in conjunction with the course material for better application to your daily practice.

---

## INTRODUCTION

---

Cannabis products such as marijuana and hashish comprise the most widely used recreational drugs both in the United States and worldwide [1]. Although, with a few exceptions, these drugs lack the liability of abuse and dependence seen with other illicit drugs, such as cocaine, methamphetamine, and heroin, physical and psychological withdrawal symptoms can occur with cannabis products, posing an additional consideration in the management of these patients. This course will provide the most pertinent, up-to-date information regarding the demographics and characteristics of cannabis users, the history of therapeutic and recreational use of the drug, the pharmacology and clinical effects, adverse effects and conditions, and the management and treatment of overdose, toxicity, and use disorders.

---

## HISTORY OF CANNABIS USE

---

Although the later part of the 20th century saw a rise in the use of cannabis for recreational, religious/spiritual, and medicinal purposes, humans have been consuming cannabis since prehistory. Cannabis, native to Central Asia, is one of the oldest known psychotropic drugs. Cultivated and consumed long before recorded history, archeologic discovery indicates that it was used in China since around 4000 B.C.E. There are several species of cannabis, including *Cannabis sativa*, *Cannabis indica*, and *Cannabis ruderalis*. *Cannabis sativa* is the most widely used variety and can be cultivated in a variety of climates [2; 3].

The two main derivatives of cannabis are marijuana and hashish. The term marijuana originated in Mexico to describe cheap tobacco; today, it refers to the dried leaves and flowers of the *Cannabis* plant. Hashish, an Arabic term, is the viscous resin of the plant [2; 3].

The Chinese emperor Shen Nung is believed to be the first to describe the properties and therapeutic uses of cannabis, which appeared in his compendium of Chinese medicinal herbs written in 2737 B.C.E. Following this, cannabis was cultivated for its fiber, seeds, medicinal use, and recreational consumption, which then spread to India from China [2].

In 1839, William O'Shaughnessy, a British physician and surgeon working in India, was the first individual in Western medicine to discover the use of cannabis as an analgesic, appetite stimulant, antiemetic, muscle relaxant, or anticonvulsant. In 1854, cannabis was listed in the United States Dispensatory; however, after prohibition was repealed, American authorities condemned the use of cannabis, claiming it responsible for insanity, intellectual deterioration, violence, and various crimes. In 1937, the U.S. Government introduced the *Marihuana Tax Act*. According to this legislation, a tax of \$1 per ounce was collected when cannabis was used for medical purposes and \$100 per ounce when it was used for unapproved purposes [3]. Cannabis was removed from the U.S. Pharmacopoeia in 1942 [2].

---

## DEFINITION OF CANNABIS USE DISORDERS

---

Although severe problems associated with abuse and dependence are less common among cannabis users than among other drug users, they do occur. In 2022, cannabis had the highest rate of past year use or dependence when compared with all other illicit drugs [4]. In addition, as of February 2024, 24 states and the District of Columbia have fully legalized recreational and medicinal use of cannabis, reducing societal stigma and increasing accessibility [5]. As of 2024, the long-term societal and personal consequences of decriminalization of cannabis are unknown, and this issue requires continued monitoring.

Cannabis use disorder is best described as a chronic relapsing disease characterized by compulsive seeking and use of cannabis, accompanied by functional and molecular changes to the brain [6]. The single most defining aspect of cannabis use disorder is the salience of the relationship with the drug. The stronger the relationship, the more likely the patient will continue problematic use despite internal and external consequences. Individuals who use cannabis often believe it is necessary to get through daily activities, alleviate stress, and cope with problems. Physiologic adaptation, evidenced by tolerance and withdrawal, is often present but may not be sufficient for diagnosis. Cannabis use disorder is diagnosed behaviorally and is evidenced by cravings for cannabis, preoccupation with use of the drug, sneaking and concealing ingestion, loss of the ability to control cannabis use, and continued use despite significant physical, psychological, social, or occupational consequences [6]. The fifth revised edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5-TR)* uses 11 behavioral criteria to diagnose cannabis use disorder; it may be further qualified as mild, moderate, or severe based on the number of diagnostic criteria fulfilled (**Table 1**) [6].

Cannabis withdrawal is a condition that occurs following cessation or substantial reduction in use in previously heavy and chronic users [6]. Withdrawal symptoms (e.g., depression/mood changes, anxiety, sleep difficulties, anorexia, physical symptoms) must result in significant distress and/or affect the patient's social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.

Identifying patients with a cannabis-related disorder can be difficult, because use disorders and associated problems are typically slow to develop. Patients frequently do not recognize they have a problem or do not want to give up their drug use. They may also be attempting to conceal their drug use from parents, physicians, and other authority figures. Unexplained deterioration in academic or work performance, problems with or changes in social relationships, and changes in recreational activities are signs of a possible problem [7].

Psychoactive substances have been misnamed “synthetic marijuana” and “synthetic cannabis;” however, these drugs include no actual cannabis. Instead, they consist of molecules developed in illicit labs and sprayed onto inert non-cannabis plant material for smoking. These molecules interact with cannabinoid and other receptors, making their toxicity a very serious concern.

---

## EPIDEMIOLOGY OF CANNABIS USE AND CANNABIS USE DISORDER

---

Cannabis is the most widely used substance aside from alcohol in the United States. Of the 70.3 million illicit drug users in the United States in 2022, 88% of those individuals had used cannabis at least once in the past year [4; 8]. The 2022 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) found that 46.9% of Americans 12 years of age and older had used cannabis at least once in their lifetimes, and 15% were current (past-month) users of cannabis [4; 8]. In 2022, adolescent girls (12 to 17 years of age) used cannabis at a rate of 7.6%, compared with 5.3% of adolescent boys in the last 30 days; however, after 18 years of age, men used cannabis more frequently than women in every age group. The demographic with the highest rate of cannabis use is those 18 to 25 years of age, with greater than 26% of individuals reporting current use [4; 8]. Among ethnic groups, those of two or more races (24.6%), American Indian/Alaska Native (18%), and Black/African Americans (16.8%) 12 years of age and older are the most likely to currently use cannabis, followed by White (15.6%), non-Hispanic/Latino mixed race (15.3%), Hispanic/Latino (13.5%), and Asian (6.2%) individuals [8].

An analysis of National Surveys on Drug Use and Health between 1979 to 2022 found that, for the first time, the percent of daily cannabis users (nearly 40%) has surpassed the percent of daily alcohol users; however, alcohol is still more widely used.

DSM-5-TR DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA FOR CANNABIS USE DISORDER
<p>Cannabis use disorder is defined as a problematic pattern of cannabis use leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as manifested by at least two of the following, occurring within a 12-month period:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cannabis is often taken in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended.</li> <li>• There is a persistent desire or unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control cannabis use.</li> <li>• A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain cannabis, use cannabis, or recover from its effects.</li> <li>• Craving, or a strong desire or urge to use cannabis.</li> <li>• Recurrent cannabis use resulting in a failure to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home.</li> <li>• Continued cannabis use despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the effects of cannabis.</li> <li>• Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of cannabis use.</li> <li>• Recurrent cannabis use in situations in which it is physically hazardous.</li> <li>• Cannabis use is continued despite knowledge of having a persistent or recurrent physical or psychological problem that is likely to have been caused or exacerbated by cannabis.</li> <li>• Tolerance, as defined by either of the following:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. A need for markedly increased amounts of cannabis to achieve intoxication or desired effect.</li> <li>b. Markedly diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of cannabis.</li> </ol> </li> <li>• Withdrawal, as manifested by either of the following:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The characteristic withdrawal syndrome for cannabis (refer to Criteria A and B of the criteria set for cannabis withdrawal).</li> <li>b. Cannabis (or a closely related substance) is taken to relieve or avoid withdrawal symptoms.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
<p>Severity Scale: Mild=2 to 3 symptoms; Moderate=4 to 5 symptoms; Severe=6 or more symptoms</p>
<p>Source: [6] <span style="float: right;">Table 1</span></p>



Researchers noted that patterns of cannabis use are more closely associated with tobacco use than alcohol use. In addition, it was found that there are now approximately more than 50% more past-year cannabis users than current tobacco smokers [10; 11].

As described, cannabis use disorder is diagnosed behaviorally and is evidenced by cravings for cannabis, preoccupation with use of the drug, sneaking and concealing ingestion, loss of the ability to control cannabis use, and continued use despite significant physical, psychological, social, or occupational consequences [4; 6; 8]. The DSM-5-TR criteria for cannabis use disorder was updated and published in 2013, replacing the DSM-IV. The DSM-5-TR updated criteria combined dependence and abuse into a single disorder, removed the legal problems criterion, and added craving, withdrawal, and a severity metric [6].

In 2022, nearly 17.3% of individuals in the United States 12 years of age and older reported any past-year substance use disorder; individuals with cannabis use disorder (with or without another substance use disorder) accounted for 6.7% of the general population [4; 8]. The population rate affected by cannabis use disorder is higher than any other illicit drug because the population rate of cannabis use is also substantially higher than any other illicit drug [4; 8; 10]. Those with cannabis use disorder have the lowest severity rate for all substance use disorders, with 17.3% meeting the criteria for severe substance use disorder, 27.6% for moderate, and 55.1% for mild. In comparison, severe substance abuse occurs more commonly with alcohol (20.7%), cocaine (46.8%), and methamphetamine (48.8%) [4; 8].



Regarding race and ethnicity, cannabis use disorder trends follow that of the cannabis-using population, with highest past-year rates seen in multiracial populations (12.6%) and American Indian/Alaska Natives (11%), followed by Black/African American (8%) populations, Hispanic (7.2%), White (6.4%), and Asian (3.3%) populations [4]. The highest rate of cannabis use disorder are seen in adults 18 to 25 years of age (16.5%), adults 26 years of age and older (5.4%), and adolescents 12 to 17 years of age (5.1%) [4]. The higher percentages are consistent with the higher rates of past-year cannabis use among these age groups.

### **RISK FACTORS FOR CANNABIS USE DISORDERS**

Cannabis use typically begins in early-to-middle adolescence, and use tends to peak during late adolescence and young adulthood [3; 4]. Many people first use cannabis out of curiosity, peer pressure, or both, and continue to use it for the desired effects of euphoria, relaxation, heightened sensations and perceptions, and socialization with other users. Factors that contribute to chronic use include easy access, the expectation of few or no legal consequences for use, and attempts to self-medicate physical and emotional problems.

Occasional cannabis experimentation during adolescence has often been viewed as normative behavior. However, studies have shown a connection between negative psychological and psychosocial events and adolescents that use cannabis (whether criteria for cannabis use disorder is met or not) when compared with nonusers, including a two to four times greater prevalence of major depression, suicidal ideation, difficulty concentrating, truancy, low grades, arrest, fighting, and aggression [13]. In another study, among adolescents admitted for conduct disorder-related hospitalizations in the United States, cannabis use disorder was the most prevalent substance use disorder noted (23.7%),

followed by tobacco and alcohol use (10.1% each) [14]. It is unclear if these difficulties exist before or are an effect of cannabis use or of overlapping factors. Genetic behavior disorders, parents who use cannabis, family disintegration, and loss of trusting attachments to key adults have been implicated as both causes of adversity leading to cannabis use and of anxiety, depression, and risk-taking behaviors in pre-adolescents. However, the perceived negative risk and consequences of cannabis on physical and psychological health is a strong protective factor for this population [4; 15].

A major risk factor for adolescent substance abuse, including cannabis use, is the presence of conduct problems in childhood. This may be because family conflict, poor parental monitoring, parental substance use, academic problems, and association with peers who use cannabis are all risk factors for both substance abuse and conduct problems. More than half of adolescents with substance abuse problems also exhibit conduct problems [4; 14]. Co-occurrence of these problems is a strong predictor of poor outcome following substance abuse treatment. Factors associated with cannabis use disorder include male sex, adolescent aggression/delinquency, childhood abuse (particularly sexual abuse), and evidence of adolescent risk-taking behaviors, such as cigarette smoking, conduct problems, and involvement in a delinquent peer group [4; 14]. One study found that externalizing disorders (e.g., antisocial personality disorder) from proximal developmental periods were a significant risk factor for future cannabis use disorders in adolescents and young adults; internalizing disorders were not [22]. Exposure to multiple risk factors is associated with poorer prognosis.

Early subjective response to cannabis is associated with later risk of misuse [23; 24]. Participants in a survey reporting five positive reactions to the drug had 20 times greater risk of later use disorder than those who did not experience positive reactions, even after controlling for confounding factors. These

findings suggest that early subjective and physiologic reactions to cannabis are predictive of later misuse and possibly reflect underlying genetic differences in vulnerability to use disorders. These possible genetic predispositions are likely mediated by individual differences in the responsiveness of the mesolimbic dopamine system to substance use [23].

Several factors associated with successful cessation of cannabis use have been identified. These factors include older age, female sex, married marital status, infrequent cannabis use, absence of delinquent behavior, exposure to formal treatment, higher socioeconomic status, high school completion, and non-using friends [26; 27].

### Genetic Vulnerability Theory

Analyses of several adoption, family, and twin studies that examined the relationship between cannabis use and heritable factors determined that the use of cannabis (and other licit and illicit substances) is due in part to genetic vulnerability and an overlap of environmental influences [28; 29]. There appear to be substantial genetic influences on measures of cannabis involvement that correlate with progression to greater levels of addiction, and an individual's vulnerability to cannabis abuse/dependence is shaped by a common susceptibility to multiple substance abuse and also by risk factors unique to cannabis. Researchers in one twin study clarified the basics of this theory in their conclusion, stating that genetic factors predispose individuals to substance use/abuse whereas environmental experiences determine which class of psychoactive substances a predisposed individual will prefer over another [30]. In a meta-analysis evaluating the relative magnitude of the influence of genetic and environmental factors on problematic cannabis use, researchers estimated that the proportion of the total variance attributable to genetics was 51% in men/boys and 59% in women/girls [29].

## ESCALATION OF CANNABIS USE TO OTHER ILLICIT DRUGS

Early onset and frequency of cannabis use are strong predictors of escalation in other illicit drug use across sexes, populations, ethnicities, and socioeconomic strata. Frequent cannabis use during young adulthood significantly increases the risk of polysubstance abuse, earlier onset of substance dependence, poorer educational and occupational outcomes, multiple health and psychiatric problems, and criminal justice system involvement [31].

Cannabis and other illicit drug use may be correlated. Studies have shown that cannabis is a potential "gateway drug," leading to the use and abuse of more dangerous drugs, such as cocaine and heroin [28]. However, it should be noted that evidence of a causal relationship between cannabis use and progression to other drug use has not been clearly proven [28]. A report from the National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University found that cannabis's "gateway" effect (if any) is far less important than that of cigarettes and alcohol, and teens who use alcohol and nicotine are 30 times more likely to try cannabis [32]. Analysis of data from the National Epidemiological Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions found that 44.7% of individuals who try cannabis progress to another illicit drug in their lifetimes, although the researchers in this study did not control for lifetime alcohol or nicotine use [33]. One theory is that dopaminergic effects of alcohol, cannabis, and nicotine lead users to seek similar effects from other, more potent drugs.



Researchers from the Institute for Mental Health Policy Research recommend avoiding early age initiation of cannabis use (i.e., definitively before the age of 16 years) in order to lower the risks associated with cannabis use.

(<https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/full/10.2105/AJPH.2017.303818>. Last accessed July 26, 2024.)

**Level of Evidence:** Substantial (Based on several supportive findings from good-quality studies with few opposing studies)

## TREATMENT UTILIZATION

Increases in the prevalence of cannabis use, the increased potency of cannabis, and array of available products have contributed to a consistent 12.1% increase in cannabis- and other cannabinoid-related emergency department episodes annually from 2006 to 2014. A shift was seen in 2016–2017, when cannabis was first legalized in several states, resulting in a 17.3% annual increase in cannabis-related emergency department visits, before lowering to 11.1% in 2017–2018 [16]. Cannabis-related conditions that may be seen in an emergency department include chronic addiction to cannabis, acute cannabis psychosis, and cannabis-related schizophrenia [13; 16].

One trend that has surfaced since the beginning of state-level policies legalizing cannabis is the increase in the number of infants and children presenting to the emergency department for treatment of cannabis-related conditions [12; 16]. From 2017 to 2018, the rate of increase in children 0 to 14 years of age 17.4%, compared with 11.1% in all age groups [16]. Another study found that these higher levels of emergency department visits in children continued to increase during the pandemic; edible cannabis ingestion among children 6 years of age and younger increased by 1,375% between 2017 to 2021, with significant increases in toxicity and severity when compared with previous years [12]. In addition, the National Poison Control Centers reported 10,448 single substance exposure cases between January 2021 and May 2022; of those, 77% involved individuals 19 years of age and younger. It was found that packaging designed to be appealing to children was a significant contributing factor in the increase in accidental ingestion. As a result, the FDA issued a warning in 2022 to inform consumers that manufacturers were illegally selling “copycat” snacks with packaging and labeling made to imitate popular candy and snack foods, and that individuals should securely store any cannabis-containing products [17].

Utilization of treatment services for cannabis use disorder has also increased. In 2022, nearly 750,000 individuals 12 years of age and older received treatment for cannabis use disorder. Outpatient treatment utilization was more common than inpatient treatment (72% vs. 28%) [8]. Overall, the proportion of those seeking treatment for cannabis use disorder is relatively low in the United States. This may be partially due to the perception of cannabis as a relatively innocuous drug. Among individuals who thought about and/or sought substance use treatment in 2022, the most common barriers were not being ready; believing they should be able to handle the problem individually; not knowing where to get treatment; and perceived high cost, including lack of health insurance and high out-of-pocket expenses [8].

---

## PHARMACOLOGY

---

Cannabis contains more than 500 known chemical compounds, more than 100 of which are grouped under the category of cannabinoids [2; 34]. The two main cannabinoids are delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (delta-9-THC) and cannabidiol (CBD), with THC the primary psychoactive chemical. Other cannabinoids include delta-8-THC, cannabinal, cannabicyclol, cannabichromene, cannabigerol, terpenes, and flavonoids, all of which are present in smaller quantities and have little proven psychotropic effects compared with THC. The psychotomimetic and anxiogenic effects of THC itself are thought to be attenuated by CBD [2; 34]. Cannabinoid receptor type 2 (CB2) activity accounts for some anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive effects, while the anxiolytic effects of CBD result from 5-HT1A (serotonin) receptor agonist activity, among other receptors [2; 35]. CBD also exhibits significant anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects [2; 34].



Cannabis is used in many forms, but it is most often smoked in the form of a cigarette (“joint”) or out of a pipe, water pipe (e.g., “bong”), or improvised vessel (e.g., sawn-off plastic bottle). Other methods of cannabis use include eating or drinking; vaping; “dabbing” or smoking waxes, shatter, or concentrates; topical application of lotion, cream, or patches to the skin; using drops, strips, lozenges, or sprays in the mouth or under the tongue; and taking cannabis pills. Because of its relative water insolubility, it is unsuitable for intravenous use [4].

Cannabinoids are present in the stalks, leaves, flowers, and seeds of the plant, but they are particularly abundant in the resin secreted by the female plant. THC content varies among the available sources and preparations of cannabis. Advances in cultivation (such as hydroponic farming) and plant-breeding techniques have increased the potency of cannabis products over time. For example, in the 1990s, the average THC content in a marijuana flower was less than 2%; in 2017, the most popular strains contained 17% to 28% THC. Development of concentrated products (e.g., oil, dabbing products, edibles) can contain 95% THC or even greater [2; 36].

## PHARMACOKINETICS OF CANNABINOIDS

The onset of action of inhaled cannabis is within seconds, and full effect is achieved within 6 to 10 minutes. The bioavailability of THC is higher with inhaled cannabis (10% to 35%) than oral ingestion (4% to 12%). Similarly, the bioavailability of CBD via inhalation is 11% to 45%, whereas that of oral CBD is 6% [2]. Blood concentrations after oral ingestion are as low as 25% to 30% of those obtained by smoking the same dose, partly due to hepatic first-pass metabolism [2]. The onset of effect is also delayed, and full effect is achieved in 30 to 90 minutes (or up to 4 hours) after oral ingestion; duration is prolonged because of continued slow absorption from the gut [2].

As little as 2.5 mg of THC is enough to produce measurable psychological and physical effects in the occasional cannabis user. Upon transferring to the bloodstream, cannabinoids are distributed rapidly systemically, first reaching the fatty tissues and organs with the highest blood flow, such as the brain, lungs, and liver [2]. Within the brain, cannabinoids are differentially distributed, reaching high concentrations in the neocortical areas, especially the frontal cortex; the limbic areas, including the hippocampus and amygdala; sensory areas, such as the visual and auditory cortex; motor areas, including the basal ganglia and cerebellum; and the pons [2]. Whether THC accumulates in the brain with long-term use is unknown, due to limits in THC access and accumulation imposed by the blood-brain barrier.

Cannabinoids are highly fat soluble and accumulate in fatty tissues. From these tissues, the compounds are very slowly released into other parts of the body. In occasional users, the plasma elimination half-life of THC is approximately 1 to 3 days; in chronic users it is 5 to 13 days [2].

Cannabinoids are mainly metabolized in the liver, where they produce more than 20 metabolites, some of which are psychoactive and many of which have plasma elimination half-lives of the order of 50 hours. The major metabolite is 11-hydroxy-THC, which may be more potent than the parent compound and be responsible for some of the effects of cannabis. Relative to inhalation, first-pass hepatic metabolism with oral ingestion yields a greater proportion of 11-hydroxy-THC [2].

Elimination occurs over several days due to the slow rediffusion of THC from body fat and other tissues. Roughly 20% to 35% of THC is eliminated in urine and 65% to 80% in feces. By five days, 80% to 90% of THC is eliminated, although THC from a single dose can be detected in plasma up to 13 days later in chronic smokers as a result of extensive storage and release from body fat [2].

## PHARMACODYNAMICS

Cannabinoids act primarily by binding to the CB1 and CB2 receptors. Both of these receptors are part of the G-protein coupled class, and their activation results in inhibition of adenylate cyclase activity. Identification of agonists and antagonists of these receptors has stimulated interest in medical uses of cannabis [2; 3].

Cannabinoids exert many of their effects by combining with specific receptors in the central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral nervous system. The discovery of cannabinoid receptors led to a search for the endogenous ligand with which the receptors naturally interact. The first substance, discovered in 1992, was eventually isolated and named anandamide after the Sanskrit word for bliss, *ananda*; 2-arachidonoylglycerol was discovered soon after. Anandamides are derivatives of arachidonic acid, a polyunsaturated omega-6 fatty acid, and are related to prostaglandins [2].

Both anandamides and their receptors lie in neuronal lipid membranes and modulate neuronal activity through intracellular G-proteins that control cyclic adenosine monophosphate formation and calcium and potassium ion transport. The endogenous cannabinoid system is a signaling system that includes cannabinoid receptors, endogenous receptor ligands (termed endocannabinoids), and their synthesizing and degrading enzymes [2]. Core functions of the endogenous cannabinoid system (ECS) have been described as “relax, eat, sleep, forget, and protect,” shorthand for the diversity of processes involving the ECS [49]. The ECS regulates neuronal excitability and inflammation in pain circuits and cascades and also helps regulate movement, appetite, aversive memory extinction, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis modulation, immunomodulation, mood, wake/sleep cycles, blood pressure, bone density, tumor surveillance, neuroprotection, and reproduction. A number of the cannabinoids’ pharmacologic effects can be explained on the basis of these interactions, examples being tachycardia and xerostomia, which are caused by the effects of THC on acetylcholine [50].

CB2 receptors are primarily found in immune cells, suggesting that cannabinoids may play a role in the immune response. CB1 receptors are found throughout the body but are concentrated in the brain, with the highest density in the substantia nigra, cerebellum, globus pallidus, and caudate nucleus. Other brain regions with high CB1 receptor density include the cerebellum, hippocampus, cerebral cortex, and nucleus accumbens. The distribution of CB receptors indicates that the endocannabinoid system has effects on a broad range of behaviors [2; 34].

## TOXICITY

There are no cases in the literature of death due to toxicity following the maximum oral THC dose in dogs (up to 3,000 mg/kg THC) and monkeys (up to 9,000 mg/kg THC). In animals and humans, it is virtually impossible to induce fatal toxicity, and no human fatalities resulting solely from cannabis toxicity have been documented to date [39]. As noted, the greatest risk for toxicity and potential overdose is among children who may consume cannabis edibles, beverages, or candies inadvertently [17]. In adults, most toxic reactions are mild, but in children, overdose can result in significant respiratory depression. Findings compiled by the National Academies of Sciences indicate an increase in pediatric cannabis exposures in states where cannabis has been decriminalized [55].



Clinicians should counsel patients, caregivers, and families to be aware that older adults can be more susceptible than younger adults to some dose-related adverse events associated with cannabis use.

([https://ccsmh.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/New\\_Cannabis\\_Use\\_Disorder\\_ENG\\_WEB.pdf](https://ccsmh.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/New_Cannabis_Use_Disorder_ENG_WEB.pdf). Last accessed July 26, 2024.)

**Strength of Recommendation/Level of Evidence:**  
Strong/High

## TOLERANCE

Tolerance to most of the THC effects eventually develops in regular users. Cannabis tolerance primarily results from pharmacodynamic mechanisms, including changes in CB1 signaling ability due to receptor desensitization and down-regulation. THC tolerance varies across different brain regions, possibly explaining why tolerance develops to some cannabis effects but not to others [56]. Tolerance to most THC effects develops after a few doses and then disappears rapidly following cessation.

## DRUG-DRUG INTERACTIONS

As with many drugs, cannabis can enhance or attenuate the effects of other medications. A combination of dronabinol (a cannabinoid) and prochlorperazine is more effective in reducing chemotherapy-associated nausea and vomiting than prochlorperazine alone [57]. Cannabis can also augment the sedating effects of other psychotropic substances, such as alcohol and benzodiazepines. A number of synergistic effects may be therapeutically desirable, such as the enhancement of:

- Muscle relaxants, bronchodilators, and antiglaucoma medication
- Opiate analgesia
- Phenothiazines' antiemetic effect
- Benzodiazepines' antiepileptic action

The cyclooxygenase inhibitors, indomethacin, acetylsalicylic acid, and other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs antagonize THC effects, reflecting the involvement of cyclooxygenase activity in several THC effects [50].

## THERAPEUTIC USE OF CANNABIS

Use of cannabis for medical purposes was first documented in China thousands of years ago, where it was reportedly used to treat malaria, constipation, rheumatism, and childbirth pain. There are also reports of cannabis mixed with wine being used as an analgesic. Throughout history, the medical use of cannabis has been found in records from Asia, the Middle East, Southern Africa, and South America [58].

Despite being categorized as illegal, cannabis has continued to be an attractive option for self-medication among some patients. In 1978, a compassionate program for medicinal cannabis was established by the U.S. government; this program stopped accepting new candidates in 1991. Cannabis was reintroduced into medical use in 1996 by popular vote and legislative acts in California. By 2024, 38 states and the District of Columbia had followed suit [59]. (For information on laws pertaining to the medical use of cannabis in your state, visit <https://medicalmarijuana.procon.org/legal-medical-marijuana-states-and-dc>.) In addition, cannabis is used by millions of patients for medicinal purposes in jurisdictions where it remains illegal for medical use.

For some clinical conditions, most of the published research involves oral cannabinoids, and there are questions over the extent this efficacy can be extrapolated to cannabis. Some reports indicate that patients benefiting from oral cannabinoids are likely to benefit from smoked cannabis, but the reverse is not always true [61]. For example, inhaled cannabis trials for the management of nausea and vomiting are sparse. Although randomized controlled trials of dronabinol or nabilone predominate and have consistently shown efficacy, patients tend to prefer smoked over oral delivery due to the rapid alleviation of nausea and vomiting, ease of titration, and greater tolerability. Thus, for indications for which cannabis randomized controlled trials are few or absent, it seems reasonable to extrapolate non-cannabis cannabinoid efficacy to smoked cannabis.

## CHEMOTHERAPY-INDUCED NAUSEA AND VOMITING

Dronabinol, a synthetic THC derivative, is approved for the treatment of refractory nausea and vomiting caused by antineoplastic drugs used for the treatment of cancer and for appetite loss in anorexia and cachexia of patients with human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS) [50]. Dronabinol and nabilone, another synthetic derivative, are generally considered safe, effective antiemetics and are recommended by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network for this use [40]. A vast body of anecdotal evidence from the past 150 years as well as preclinical and clinical trial results strongly indicate a valuable role for cannabis in controlling nausea and vomiting caused by cytotoxic drug administration or secondary to another primary medical condition [62]. A meta-analysis of cannabinoid efficacy in chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting found superior antiemetic efficacy of dronabinol, nabilone, levonantradol (not approved for use in the United States), and smoked cannabis compared with conventional drugs and placebo [63].

## CHRONIC PAIN

Cannabis has been safely co-administered with a wide range of other drug agents (as discussed) and acts synergistically with opioids to enhance analgesia and allow opioid dose reduction. Chronic pain treatment often requires multiple drug agents that target different pain mechanisms, and the novel mechanism and superior safety profile of cannabis versus opioids suggests that it can be a valuable addition to therapeutic options for chronic pain [64; 65].

In one study, 10-mg and 20-mg doses of THC were found to be roughly equivalent to 60 mg and 120 mg codeine doses, respectively, and a strong sedative effect was observed [66]. A 2010 British study found that improved analgesia was realized with a

THC/CBD extract oromucosal spray in patients whose pain was not alleviated with strong opioids [67]. Twice as many patients given the THC/CBD extract had a 30% reduction in pain (the measure of success) than those administered placebo or a pure THC extract. A 2012 study concluded that patients with cancer being treated with opioids but who had poorly controlled chronic pain achieved significantly better control of the pain and sleep disruption with THC/CBD oromucosal spray (lower doses of 1-4 and 6-10 sprays/day) compared with placebo [68].

A comprehensive review of randomized controlled studies found that higher doses or strengths of cannabis are not necessarily the best therapeutic strategy for managing chronic pain. Higher doses and strengths may actually increase pain and or the incidence of adverse effects [69]. These findings highlight the need for clinical studies to assess dose-dependent effects, particularly in light of the high-strength cannabis and cannabis-derived products available at medical dispensaries [36].

## SPASTICITY

Spasticity is a core symptom of multiple sclerosis, is common after stroke and with other neurologic conditions, and greatly limits movement and activities of daily living by those afflicted. Treatment options include oral medications (e.g., skeletal muscle relaxants, antispasmodic agents), botulinum toxins, and surgery [70]. Due to varying efficacy of traditional treatments, or to supplement treatment, many patients with multiple sclerosis have sought relief through cannabis use. The oromucosal cannabinoid spray nabiximols appears efficacious in multiple sclerosis but is not yet approved for clinical use in the United States [71; 72]. Several clinical trials of cannabis in multiple sclerosis have been performed, and these studies have demonstrated cannabis efficacy in reducing spasticity and pain.



## CANCER- AND HIV-ASSOCIATED ANOREXIA AND WEIGHT LOSS

As noted, dronabinol, a synthetic THC derivative, is approved for the treatment of anorexia associated with weight loss in patients with HIV/AIDS [50].

The effectiveness of cannabis and THC derivatives as appetite stimulants, coupled with their antiemetic, analgesic, anxiolytic, hypnotic, and antipyretic properties, suggests a unique role in alleviating symptoms in selected patients with cancer or AIDS [58]. A double-blind clinical trial of HIV-positive patients found smoked cannabis increased daily caloric intake and body weight, with few adverse effects [76]. Benefits from smoked cannabis reported by 252 patients with HIV/AIDS included relief of anxiety and/or depression (57%), improved appetite (53%), increased pleasure (33%), and pain relief (28%). However, recent use of cannabis was strongly associated with severe nausea [77].

A review of cannabinoid use in cancer patients found a beneficial effect in stimulating appetite in patients who were receiving chemotherapy or experiencing pain [78]. However, because pain can be a persistent symptom in patients with cancer, intermittent use among respondents may indicate limits to the benefits of cannabis use for pain control. Future studies evaluating cannabis in cancer-related pain control are needed to assess its role as a potential adjunct to currently approved pain-control strategies [79]. Interestingly, the results of several preclinical and preliminary clinical testing studies have suggested that cannabinoids inhibit tumor and/or malignant cell growth in pancreatic, lung, leukemic, melanoma, oral, and lymphoma cancers and other malignant tumors [80]. Despite nearly all respondents in a survey-based study of patients with cancer desiring more information and education about cannabis use, they reported that they were more likely to get information from sources outside the healthcare system than from their oncology or hematology providers [79].

## SEIZURES

An oral solution of cannabidiol (a cannabis derivative) was approved in 2018 for the treatment of Lennox-Gastaut syndrome and Dravet syndrome. When taken in conjunction with other medications, this drug has been found to reduce the frequency of seizures (compared with placebo) [81]. It may be used in patients 2 years of age or older, and common side effects include sleepiness, elevated liver enzymes, and decreased appetite.

Researchers continue to evaluate the efficacy and safety of CBD as an adjunct to treatment in patients with epilepsy. One study included four trials of oral CBD (10 mg and 20 mg) involving 550 patients with uncontrolled Lennox-Gastaut syndrome or Dravet syndrome. Adjunctive CBD in these patients resulted in greater reduction in seizure frequency, but a higher rate of adverse events. A reduction in all-types seizure frequency by at least 50% occurred in 37.2% of the patients in the CBD 20 mg group and 21.2% of the placebo-treated participants. Adverse events associated with use of CBD included somnolence, decreased appetite, diarrhea, and increased serum aminotransferases [83]. Despite these promising results, some researchers report finding inconsistent results in systematic reviews of the therapeutic benefits of cannabis-based medicines compared with placebo [84; 85].

---

## ACUTE CANNABIS EFFECTS

---

Similar to other drugs with an abuse liability, such as heroin, cocaine, amphetamines, and nicotine, the pleasurable effects of cannabis are the result of the release of dopamine in the reward circuitry, comprised of the subcortical ventral tegmentum, nucleus accumbens, striatum, and medial prefrontal cortex [86]. Transmission of dopamine is increased in the nucleus accumbens following acute administration of cannabinoid agonists.

## BEHAVIORAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL EFFECTS

The pharmacologic actions of cannabinoids are complex. The resulting effects are a unique combination of those found with the use of depressants and hallucinogens. Because cannabinoid receptors are widely distributed through the body, numerous body systems are affected [45; 87]. The experience of intoxication is highly variable and is influenced by the dose, the environment, and the experience and expectations of the user [86]. Tolerance to cannabinoids occurs by variations in pharmacodynamics. Tolerance can affect mood, memory, psychomotor performance, sleeping heart rate, arterial pressure, and body temperature, among other symptoms [88].

### Effects on Mood

The euphoriant potential of cannabis is probably the single most important characteristic in sustaining its widespread and often chronic recreational use. This effect varies greatly with dose, route of administration, expectation, environment, and personality of the user. However, dysphoric reactions to cannabis are not uncommon. In some cases, use may result in severe anxiety and panic, unpleasant somatic sensations, and paranoia. Anxiety-panic reactions are the most common adverse psychological effects of cannabis use. Flashbacks, whereby the original drug experience (usually dysphoria) is re-experienced weeks or months later, are possible and may represent a psychological reaction similar to that of post-traumatic stress disorder [45].

### Sedative and Anxiolytic Effects

Following an initial period of excitement after acute ingestion of cannabis, a generalized CNS depressant effect is observed. This may lead to drowsiness and sleep toward the end of a period of intoxication [45].

### Effects on Perception

The changes in perception that result from cannabis and THC affect all sensory modalities. Color and sound perception may be heightened, and musical appreciation may be increased. Temporal and spatial perception is distorted, impairing judgment of distance and time. Even after small doses, persons under the influence of cannabis consistently overestimate the passage of time. Persistent visual changes, some lasting for months, have been documented [45].

### Effects on Motor Function

As noted, the initial period of excitement and increased motor activity after cannabis ingestion is followed by a state of physical inertia, with ataxia, dysarthria, and general incoordination possibly lasting for several hours. Motor performance, including measurements of body sway, tracking ability, pursuit motor performance, hand-eye coordination, reaction time, and physical strength, is demonstrably impaired [45].

### Effects on Cognition and Memory

The effects of cannabis on cognitive processes are characterized initially by subjective feelings of accelerated speed of thought, flight of ideas that may seem unusually profound, and a crowding of perceptions. Higher doses can result in out-of-control thoughts, fragmented thinking, and mental confusion. Cannabis is associated with short-term memory deficits; it is believed that these deficits may be caused by an attention deficit combined with an inability to filter out irrelevant information and the intrusion of extraneous thoughts. Memory lapses may contribute to the distortion in the perception of time and poor psychomotor performance in complex tasks [45].

### **Psychomotor Performance**

Even low doses of THC (5–15 mg) can significantly impair an individual's ability to perform complex or demanding tasks, including those involved in fine hand-eye coordination, complex tracking, divided attention tasks, visual information processing, digit code tests, and alternate addition-subtraction tasks [45]. Psychomotor performance further deteriorates at higher doses, and impairment can persist several hours following a single dose [45].

### **Aggression and Violence**

Cannabis typically decreases aggression and increases sociability. However, some individuals, particularly those under stress and predisposed to violence, become aggressive after taking cannabis. Research has shown that cannabis users with a history of aggression have a 60% greater likelihood of perpetrating partner violence than nonusers [89]. Violent behavior may be more common among those with acute paranoid or manic psychosis induced by cannabis and polydrug use [45].

### **Psychiatric Symptoms**

Cannabis use can lead to a range of short-lived psychiatric symptoms, including depersonalization, derealization, a feeling of loss of control, fear of dying, irrational panic, and paranoid ideas [90]. After taking a large dose of THC, vulnerable or heavy users may temporarily experience a form of drug-induced psychosis [91]. This is classified in the DSM-5-TR as cannabis intoxication with perceptual disturbances or as a substance-induced psychotic disorder and is diagnosed if the hallucinations are not better explained by another medical or mental disorder and if the symptoms appear during or within two hours of substance use or withdrawal [6]. The frequent use and rising potency of cannabis suggest that young people may have their first episode of psychosis earlier than historically usual [91].

Cannabis-induced psychosis has the potential to require hospital admission. During the initial diagnosis, this psychosis may be misidentified as schizophrenia, as patients may display characteristic schizophrenic symptoms, such as delusions of control, grandiose identity, persecution, thought insertion, auditory hallucinations, altered perception, and blunted affect [86].

## **PHYSICAL EFFECTS**

### **Cardiovascular Effects**

Acute doses of cannabis may induce tachycardia with peripheral vasodilatation, which can result in postural hypotension and a slight decrease in body temperature. Cardiac output may be increased by as much as 30%, accompanied by increased cardiac work and oxygen demand. Because of this, cannabis can aggravate pre-existing heart disease. The absorption of relatively large amounts of carbon monoxide from smoking cannabis also contributes to the long-term cardiovascular risk of chronic cannabis use [45]. Reddening of the conjunctivae, a characteristic sign of cannabis use, is the result of widespread vasodilation [41].

### **Respiratory Effects**

Cannabis smoke contains many of the same constituents as tobacco smoke (minus the nicotine), including bronchial irritants, tumor initiators (mutagens), tumor promoters, and carcinogens. The tar from cannabis smoke also contains higher concentrations of the carcinogens benzanthracenes and benzopyrenes than tobacco smoke tar. Smoking a cannabis cigarette results in inhalation of three times the amount of tar of a tobacco cigarette, and respiratory tract retention is greater than smoking a tobacco cigarette. As a result, cannabis use may result in impairment of lung function, leading to airflow obstruction and hyperinflation [41; 45].

Although many carcinogens and tumor promoters are common to tobacco and cannabis smoke, differences in the active constituents result in different biologic outcomes. Molecules in tobacco smoke enhance carcinogenic pathways through several mechanisms. In contrast, molecules in cannabis smoke inhibit carcinogenic pathways through down-regulation of immunologically generated free radical production (the innate response to inhaled smoke and particulate); THC blockade of enzymatic conversion of smoke constituents into carcinogens; the absence of cannabinoid receptors in respiratory epithelial cells (which maintains DNA damage checkpoint mechanism integrity with prolonged cannabis smoke exposure); and the anti-angiogenic, tumor-retardant, and anti-inflammatory activity of many cannabinoid smoke constituents [93]. Large studies assessing the potential risk of lung cancer with chronic cannabis use have reached different conclusions, with one finding no increased risk and another reporting a twofold increase in the risk of lung cancer among long-term heavy users [94; 95]. Additional research is necessary to parse these findings.

A University of California, San Francisco, study found that vaporizing cannabis eliminates many of the harmful combustion byproducts (e.g., benzene, naphthalene, toluene, other aromatic hydrocarbon toxins) and greatly reduces tar and carbon monoxide from the inhaled charge [43]. Therapeutic plasma THC levels are slightly increased with this administration, and it was determined that vaporizing cannabis is safer than smoking.

### **Endocrine/Reproductive System Effects**

Cannabinoids, including THC, bind to androgen receptors, and cannabis is considered antiandrogenic. However, the drug's effects on fertility are unclear. Chronic cannabis ingestion appears to have negative effects on sperm quality (e.g., a reduction in the volume and number of spermatozoa, changes in morphology and motility) [96]. In women, regular cannabis smoking may be associated with suppression of ovulation. Chronic use may cause galactorrhea in women and gynecomastia in men. Endocrine changes resulting from cannabis use may be significant in prepubertal users, in whom cannabis use may suppress sexual maturation as well as social and personal development and learning of coping skills. There is no evidence of teratogenicity during pregnancy, but some studies suggest low neonatal birth weight from chronic maternal cannabis smoking, possibly related to fetal hypoxia and placental complications [41; 45]. In a large study, prenatal cannabis use was associated with significantly greater odds of low birth weight and small for gestational age, but not preterm birth [129]. This effect was particularly marked among heavy users (weekly or more frequent).

### **Developmental Effects**

There is some evidence that offspring of mothers who consumed cannabis during pregnancy may be at increased risk for behavioral and conduct problems, impaired executive functioning, and poor school achievement [97]. In addition, adolescents who regularly use cannabis may have impairments of learning and personal development. However, the possible effects of cannabis consumption on educational performance are difficult to demonstrate [90]. As noted, social development and the acquisition of coping skills may also be stunted. In one study, onset of cannabis use before 17 years of age was associated with a significantly increased risk of cannabis dependence, use of other illicit drugs, suicide attempt, depression, and welfare dependence by 30 years of age [98].



---

## LONG-TERM CANNABIS EFFECTS

---

### RESPIRATORY EFFECTS

Chronic cannabis smoking is associated with bronchitis, cough, shortness of breath, and asthma. Cannabis smoke contains many of the same chemicals as tobacco smoke, several of which are known carcinogens. Evidence for a link between cannabis smoking and serious conditions such as lung cancer is mixed. Further research is needed to clarify whether cannabis smoke is a causal factor for lung cancer [41; 45].

### IMMUNOSUPPRESSANT EFFECTS

There is not sufficient evidence of significant immunologic damage in humans from cannabis [45]. However, it is important to note that cannabis may be contaminated with micro-organisms, such as *Aspergillus* and *Salmonella*, as well as fecal matter. Therefore, a potentially serious adverse effect of cannabis is the risk of infection. In addition, chronic cannabis use may lead to impaired pulmonary defense against infection. The risk of infection is of particular concern in patients with HIV/AIDS due to their increased susceptibility to infection from fungal and bacterial contaminants and epithelial damage from the smoke [7].

### NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL IMPAIRMENT

Chronic cannabis use has been reported to adversely affect cognitive functioning, demonstrated by impaired cognitive performance on a wide range of tasks, including memory and executive functioning [3]. Impairment of short-term visual and verbal memory persisting for six weeks after cessation of cannabis use has been reported, and there is a potential for persisting memory deficits in academic performance in school-aged children and college students. Adolescents and those with borderline or low intelligence quotient (IQ) may be particularly susceptible to these effects [45; 100]. Studies regarding effect of long-term cannabis use on IQ have been mixed. In a New Zealand longitudinal

study, heavy users were found to have a decline in IQ from childhood to midlife (mean: -5.5 IQ points), poorer learning and processing speed relative to their childhood IQ, and informant-reported memory and attention problems [130].

Imaging studies in cannabis users have identified structural brain changes [101]. In studies using magnetic resonance imaging, heavy cannabis use was correlated with larger cerebellum grey matter volume and reduced amygdala and hippocampus grey matter volume as well as changes in higher functional connectivity in the orbitofrontal cortex network and higher structural connectivity in the forceps minor [101; 102].

A prospective study of 1,037 individuals who regularly use cannabis were followed from birth to 38 years of age [100]. The researchers conducted neuropsychological testing at 13 years of age (prior to initiation of cannabis use) and again at 38 years of age and found that persistent cannabis use was associated with neuropsychological decline broadly across domains of functioning, even after controlling for years of education. In addition, in users who began using cannabis in adolescence, these deficits remained even after cessation of use [100]. This study did not take into account potential confounding variables, such as personality, family situation, or socioeconomic status.

In 2018, a systematic review and meta-analysis on the cognitive function of heavy cannabis use in adolescents and young adults showed relatively small reductions in cognitive functioning. When abstinence was maintained for 72 hours or longer, cognitive functions were regained and the deficits were diminished significantly [103].

Overall, claims that chronic cannabis use is permanently neurotoxic have produced little scientific validation. Modestly impaired attention and ability to filter out irrelevant information in former cannabis users has been found in some studies, but other studies have not revealed impairment in cognitive function [86; 100].

Although a degree of controversy exists surrounding whether heavy long-term consumption results in cognitive impairment, irreversible impairment seems to be minimal, if it exists at all. Medical use of cannabis for more than 15 years is generally considered to be well-tolerated without significant physical or cognitive impairment [50].

## PSYCHIATRIC COMORBIDITY AND CANNABIS USE

Cannabis use disorders are associated with high rates of other psychiatric diagnoses. The most frequent psychiatric comorbidities are depressed mood, major depression, and dysthymia [90]. It is also possible that cannabis use is a risk factor for serious mental illness, such as schizophrenia [90]. Patients with a history of cannabis dependence are at an increased lifetime risk for a variety of other psychiatric disorders, and current cannabis dependence is strongly associated with alcohol misuse, affective and anxiety disorders, and tobacco use in the past year [4]. However, the pathogenesis of most psychoses is not well understood. Based on postmortem and other studies, abnormalities and dysfunction of the endocannabinoid system may play a significant role in psychological disorders (e.g., depression, suicide, schizophrenia), making the use/misuse of exogenous cannabinoids an ongoing area of research for both therapeutic potential and causation [104].

### Depression

Although there is little evidence to support a correlation between depression and infrequent cannabis use, a modest association between early-onset or heavy, habitual cannabis use and later depression has been reported [105]. Because there is little evidence of an increased risk of later cannabis use among patients diagnosed with depression, the self-medication hypothesis is not supported. However, research has shown that depression and chronic use of cannabis are associated, and evidence indicates that heavy cannabis use may increase depressive symptoms in some users. It is important to note that

this correlation may be the result of common social, family, and contextual factors that increase the risk of both heavy cannabis use and depression. Overall, heavy cannabis use appears to play a minor role in explaining population rates of depression [106].

### Psychoses

Healthcare professionals have observed a possible association between habitual cannabis use and psychosis for many years. However, there is considerable disagreement regarding the degree of causation attributable to cannabis use in the development of psychosis among users without an obvious vulnerability to this effect (e.g., genetic factors).

There is biologic evidence of a possible causal relationship between cannabis use and psychosis, particularly in relation to non-affective schizophrenia-spectrum disorders [107; 108]. When administered intravenously, delta-9-THC has been found to induce dose-dependent positive and negative psychotic symptoms in individuals with schizophrenia; an interaction between cannabis use and a polymorphism of the catechol-o-methyltransferase gene that codes for dopamine has also been reported [107].

In theory, cannabis use may precipitate a psychosis in several ways [90]:

- Acute induction of a toxic or organic psychosis, with symptoms of confusion and hallucination, that remits on abstinence
- Induction of an acute functional psychosis, similar to an acute schizophreniform state, that lacks the organic features of a toxic psychosis
- Induction of a chronic psychosis that persists after abstinence
- An organic psychosis induced by long-term use that only partially remits after abstinence, leaving a residual deficit state (an amotivational syndrome)

Based on the literature, it is likely that cannabis use induces psychotic disorders in vulnerable individuals, defined as those with a history of unusual experiences that may be in part genetically mediated [107]. The relationship between cannabis use and vulnerability may explain the small (two to three times) increase in risk for psychosis among cannabis users. This interaction has also been used to elucidate the lack of large increases in the incidence rates of psychoses to correspond with the increase in cannabis use rates among young adults and the earlier age of onset of schizophrenia-form disorders in cannabis users [107].

There appears to be at least some evidence linking cannabis use to the development of psychotic disorders, but some argue that the studies have been flawed. Criticisms of studies linking cannabis and psychosis include failure to separate organic and functional psychotic reactions to cannabis; insufficient discrimination between psychoses; and lack of weighing the evidence for and against the category of cannabis psychosis [90]. Although there is evidence to support the belief that cannabis use may contribute to psychosis in certain circumstances, the possible causal mechanisms are complex [90].

A review of evidence from multiple trials demonstrated a likely causal relationship between cannabis use (particularly frequent, chronic use) and psychotic illness, particularly schizophrenia-spectrum disorders [108]. Furthermore, onset of psychotic symptoms in persons with schizophrenia is earlier (by 2.7 years) in those who use cannabis than in nonusers [108]. The first psychotic episode in cannabis users is more likely to present with positive symptoms (e.g., hallucinations, delusions) than negative symptoms (e.g., apathy, social withdrawal) than first episodes in individuals who do not use cannabis.

One study recruited 97 volunteers with psychosis (i.e., schizophrenia, schizoaffective, or bipolar psychosis) and 64 controls. Participants were categorized using history of cannabis use into nonusers, adolescent-onset users, and late-onset users. The researchers were testing the hypothesis that individuals with psychosis and a history of adolescent canna-

bis use would have better global neuropsychological performance compared with those with psychosis and no cannabis use history. Neuropsychological performance was measured using the Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia (BACS) battery. Scores were significantly higher in individuals with psychosis and adolescent cannabis use compared with those with psychosis and no prior cannabis use. Adolescent cannabis use was associated with better cognitive function in schizophrenia but not bipolar psychosis [109].

Researchers have linked new high-potency cannabis strains with increased emergency admissions for psychosis, implicating not only higher levels of THC but also the absence of CBD, which ordinarily tempers the psychotomimetic effects of THC [110; 111]. A British study noted that the typical cannabis product available in the United Kingdom before 2000 was hashish resin, containing essentially equal parts THC and CBD (each up to 4% by weight), and that the typical high-potency herbal cannabis that now dominates the market contains 12% to 18% THC and less than 1.5% CBD. (This is also typical of cannabis available in the United States, particularly strains grown in California [112].) Researchers suspect that the rise in admissions for psychosis is related to this shift. The study concluded that individuals with a longer duration of use and with a preference for high-potency cannabis are at a much greater risk for enduring psychosis than individuals who occasionally smoke hashish [110]. This is supported by research showing that cannabis psychosis is THC dose dependent and that CBD can reverse indicators of THC-induced psychosis in test subjects [111; 113].

There has also been criticism of the belief that chronic heavy cannabis use leads to an amotivational syndrome, described as personality deterioration with loss of energy and drive to work [90]. Some have argued that the supporting evidence for this theory largely originates from uncontrolled studies of long-term cannabis users in various cultures and may be a reflection of ongoing intoxication in frequent users of the drug [90]. More research in this area is required.

One study used a two-round design to rule out concomitant risk factors responsible for the connection from marijuana intake to lower general self-efficacy. A total of 505 college students completed measures of marijuana use, demographics, personality, other substance use, and general self-efficacy in two assessments one month apart. Marijuana use forecast lower initiative and persistence, even after ruling out baseline covariates (e.g., demographics, personality traits, alcohol or tobacco use, self-efficacy) and taking into account the precedence of the processes (e.g., initiative, effort, persistence, alcohol, cigarette and marijuana use). Results showed that only marijuana intake significantly and longitudinally prompted lower initiative and persistence, providing partial support for the marijuana amotivational syndrome, underscoring marijuana as a risk factor for decreased general self-efficacy and offering insights into future research [114].

### **Panic Disorder**

Cannabis use has also been linked to the development of panic disorder [115]. A study involving 1,000 people 18 to 25 years of age found that 22% reported panic attacks or anxiety symptoms during cannabis intoxication, with women twice as likely as men to report these symptoms [90]. Conversely, in a study of nearly 7,000 adults, the presence of social phobia and panic disorder predicted progression from casual use to cannabis use disorder [116].

An individual's experience of cannabis intoxication may be variable; the same person given the same dose at different times may report different subjective effects. Although many users report a calming, tranquilizing effect, cannabis use may provoke feelings of anxiety or panic in some cases. For patients for whom cannabis use induces panic, a history of previous panic attacks (while sober) may not be present. A study of 66 panic disorder patients found that 24 experienced their first panic attack within 48 hours of cannabis use [117]. It has been suggested that cannabis may provoke anxiety reactions via gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) antagonism, which may provoke CNS excitatory neurotransmission and brain hyperexcitability.

Ingestion of high doses of delta-9-THC produces intense anxiety in nearly all users predisposed to anxiety, and the high THC:CBD ratio of cannabis available today may lead to a rise in anxiety/panic disorders among cannabis users in general [112]. In fact, studies indicate that CBD alone has promise in the treatment of social anxiety [118].

### **Psychosocial Impairment**

Antisocial behavior commonly occurs among cannabis users, and this is particularly evident among adolescent users. Adolescents who use cannabis regularly are at risk of experiencing delinquency, school failure, physical and psychological problems, and selling illegal drugs [19].

### **Cannabinoid Hyperemesis Syndrome**

Cannabinoid hyperemesis syndrome (CHS) is characterized by severe cyclic nausea and vomiting in chronic (usually heavy) cannabis users [119]. It is a relatively rare adverse effect, but increasing case reports have been noted with the liberalization of cannabis in several states [120]. Individuals with CHS experience temporary relief of symptoms with hot baths or showers, and compulsive bathing is often an identifying feature (differentiating the condition from other causes of cyclic vomiting) [121]. Typically, patients begin with recurrent nausea and progress to intense, persistent vomiting with continued use of cannabis.

The underlying pathogenesis of CHS is unclear, although several theories have been presented. One theory is that the enteric emetic effects of cannabis (e.g., decreased gastrointestinal motility) may promote emesis by over-riding the antiemetic effects mediated by the CNS [121]. Symptoms resolve with cessation of cannabis use; relapse to use often results in a recurrence of the syndrome.



## CANNABIS WITHDRAWAL SYNDROME

A cannabis withdrawal syndrome has been clearly demonstrated and is characterized by a variety of symptoms, including restlessness, nervousness, anxiety, dysphoria, irritability, insomnia, anorexia, muscle tremor, increased reflexes, autonomic effects (e.g., changes in heart rate and blood pressure), sweating, diarrhea, and in some cases aggressive behavior [90; 122; 123; 124]. The most frequent symptoms of cannabis withdrawal are emotional and behavioral in nature and do not typically cause significant physical, medical, or psychiatric disorders [125]. Regular daily use of cannabis can lead to withdrawal symptoms or a full-blown withdrawal syndrome upon cessation of use. With abrupt cessation, withdrawal symptoms emerge within one to two days, reach peak intensity after two to six days, and generally resolve within one to two weeks, though sleep difficulties may persist for more than one month.

Cannabis withdrawal syndrome is included in the DSM-5-TR, and it is new to this edition [6]. Three of the following seven symptom clusters must be identified for a diagnosis according to the DSM-5-TR definition [6]:

- Irritability, anger, or aggression
- Nervousness or anxiety
- Sleep difficulty (e.g., insomnia, disturbing dreams)
- Decreased appetite or weight loss
- Restlessness
- Depressed mood
- At least one of the following physical symptoms causing significant discomfort:
  - Stomach pain
  - Shakiness/tremors
  - Sweating
  - Fever
  - Chills
  - Headache

Using the proposed DSM-5-TR criteria, researchers asked 384 lifetime, non-treatment-seeking cannabis addicts about their worst abstinence experience and found that 40% would be diagnosed with cannabis withdrawal syndrome [124]. According to the DSM-5-TR, 50% to 95% of adults and adolescents who are enrolled in treatment or who are heavy cannabis users report cannabis withdrawal symptoms [6].

Cannabis withdrawal syndrome typically requires heavy, prolonged use to develop and may significantly impact social, educational, and occupational functioning [6]. In a sample of adolescent cannabis users, the majority reported cannabis withdrawal, with an associated inability to perform school work and increased arguing, that began within 24 hours and worsened during the first several days of the abstinence period, especially in heavy users [126]. The majority of adults seeking treatment for a cannabis use disorder report a history of cannabis withdrawal, with most reporting a co-occurrence of four or more symptoms of substantial severity [125].

Neurochemical causes of cannabinoid withdrawal include reduced dopaminergic activity along the ventral tegmental area-nucleus accumbens pathway, and upregulated expression and release of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) in the central nucleus of the amygdala [3].

### COURSE

The onset of abstinence symptoms consistently occurs during the first one to two days following cessation of cannabis or oral THC administration. Most symptoms return to baseline or to comparison-group levels within one to two weeks, although irritability, muscle tension, and sleep problems, particularly unusual dreams, may not return to baseline for an extended period. Because most transient symptoms return to baseline and because persons with psychiatric disorders are excluded from studies examining cannabis withdrawal, it is believed that the withdrawal symptoms are not rebound effects indicative of the participants' condition before initiation of cannabis smoking [125; 127].

The administration of cannabis during the first 24 to 96 hours of abstinence results in an abrupt reduction and return to baseline of multiple abstinence symptoms, suggesting that cannabis withdrawal syndrome is specific to THC in humans [125].

### CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Cannabis withdrawal has important treatment implications. Multiple symptoms of cannabis withdrawal syndrome are experienced among non-treatment-seeking daily cannabis users as well as inpatients and outpatients with cannabis dependence. In most cases, withdrawal symptoms are clearly observable to persons living with the user, who are able to document the disruption to daily living caused by the symptoms. The majority of persons enrolled in treatment for cannabis dependence acknowledge cannabis withdrawal symptoms, label at least some as moderate-to-severe, and complain that they make cessation of cannabis use more difficult [3; 125]. The symptoms of cannabis withdrawal may overlap with other conditions, including disordered eating, sleep disturbances, and depressive disorders, and therefore heavy cannabis use should be considered in the evaluation of patients with weight loss, sleep problems, and other similar presentations [128].

The significance of cannabis withdrawal and its potentially negative impact on treatment retention and relapse to cannabis use has not escaped the attention of researchers. Several pharmacotherapy trials investigating medications of possible utility in cannabis withdrawal have been undertaken. To date, CBD and nabiximols have both been shown to improve withdrawal symptoms, though additional research is necessary to establish efficacy and safety [131; 132; 133].

---

## TREATMENT OF CANNABIS USE DISORDERS

---

Until fairly recently, cannabis was not considered a drug with a liability of dependence and addiction. In the limited research, withdrawal did not appear to lead to any obvious physical symptoms, and animals failed to self-administer the drug, a behavior usually associated with drugs of addiction [86]. Few studies had focused on the treatment of cannabis abuse or dependence. However, it is now known that individuals can develop a chronic use pattern associated with dependence symptoms and recurrent psychosocial problems [124]. Two factors have contributed to the historical lack of research: the common beliefs that cannabis abuse rarely occurred as a primary problem and that cannabis use did not produce a true dependence syndrome. Data contrary to these assumptions first appeared in the late 1980s, and treatment development and efficacy studies specific to cannabis dependence first began to appear in the scientific literature during the 1990s [135]. As medicinal use of the drug has accelerated into the mainstream, and bolstered by the discovery of the human endocannabinoid system, a large amount of research into many facets of cannabis and cannabis use has emerged.

### CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS SEEKING TREATMENT FOR CANNABIS USE DISORDERS

Before current laws legalizing cannabis in many states, individuals who received treatment tended to do so as the result of legal consequences, and those seeking treatment voluntarily for primary problem of cannabis dependence usually waited until they were older than 30 years of age. In addition, young adults and adolescents would generally seek treatment only when mandated by school officials, parents, or the criminal justice system [31]. However, since states started legalizing cannabis, 18 to 25 years of age now comprise the majority of past-year cannabis treatment patients [8].

Among adults, the constellation of concerns that bring cannabis users to treatment may not be major socioeconomic or psychosocial problems. Rather, patients tend to express more subtle dissatisfaction with multiple areas of functioning and concerns about future health problems, which motivate the desire to quit or reduce use [134]. Individuals seeking treatment for cannabis use tend to exhibit social impairment and psychiatric distress, report multiple adverse consequences associated with cannabis use, and have a history of repeated unsuccessful attempts to stop using.

Contrary to the popular belief that dependent individuals have to want treatment before it can be effective, most enter treatment in a relatively involuntary state, often to avoid or to undo the consequences of the drug use [136]. According to 2022 NSDUH data, the most common reasons cited for not seeking or receiving treatment for substance use include the thought that they should be able to handle their alcohol or drug use on their own (74.7%), not being ready to start treatment (61.1%), and not being ready to stop or cut back use (52.2%) [8]. A significant opportunity to intervene is often the point at which drug abusers confront the legal consequences of their substance, especially taking into consideration the fact that more drug users are involved with the legal system than with the drug abuse treatment system [31].

## PHARMACOTHERAPY

The majority of treatment studies to date involving cannabis use disorders have investigated behavioral and psychosocial therapies. However, given the high rate of relapse and overwhelming numbers of cannabis-dependent individuals, the importance of pharmacotherapy for the treatment of cannabis-dependent individuals, particularly those who have been unresponsive to other treatment modalities, is important [3].

## Treatment of Cannabis Withdrawal Symptoms

As cannabis withdrawal symptoms may be a factor contributing to continuing cannabis use, medications alleviating these symptoms could be useful. Unfortunately, there is little research completed that evaluates the effectiveness of potential treatment medications on cannabis withdrawal in humans. According to completed studies, no medication, including selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, mixed-action antidepressants, atypical antidepressants, anxiolytics, and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors, has been shown to definitively decrease cannabis use by humans [3; 137; 138; 139].

There is some evidence that bupropion may be effective in lessening the symptoms of cannabis withdrawal [140]. Bupropion facilitates abstinence from cigarette smoking, in part through its ability to decrease negative mood symptoms, and because similar mood symptoms have also been associated with cannabis withdrawal, it was suggested that this medication may have a place in the treatment of cannabis withdrawal. The authors of one study found that bupropion resulted in less craving for the drug, but other studies have reported worsened mood and continued sleep difficulties, possibly caused by bupropion-associated enhanced norepinephrine activity [3; 140; 141]. A 2019 systematic review found that bupropion was likely of little value in the treatment of cannabis dependence [137].

Other researchers have evaluated the role of nefazodone because of its demonstrated effectiveness in clinical populations with conditions also associated with cannabis withdrawal, including depression, agitation, and anxiety. In a 2009 study, nefazodone decreased irritability and the severity of cannabis dependence, but it is unclear if these effects would ultimately result in improved long-term abstinence [141].

A third study evaluated the effectiveness of divalproex, which was chosen for testing based on evidence of successful treatment of some symptoms associated with cannabis withdrawal, such as irritability and mood lability [3; 142]. Divalproex was not found to positively affect cannabis withdrawal symptoms; in fact, many withdrawal symptoms (e.g., anxiety and irritability) increased compared to placebo. Divalproex also resulted in psychomotor performance disruptions. Most small studies to date find little relevant effect of divalproex for cannabis withdrawal symptoms [143].

Treatment of cannabis withdrawal with gabapentin has shown some promise in a pilot clinical trial [139]. Although the dropout rate was very high (72%), 1,200 mg per day significantly reduced withdrawal symptoms compared to placebo and resulted in decreased cannabis use among those participants that remained [144].

Another agent evaluated for its effect on attenuating cannabis withdrawal is dronabinol (oral delta-9-THC) [142]. Use of dronabinol in the treatment of withdrawal symptoms is based on the concept of substituting a longer-acting, pharmacologically equivalent drug for the abused substance to stabilize the patient, with the intent to gradually withdraw the substituted drug. Oral delta-9-THC is successful in markedly reducing withdrawal symptoms, including self-reports of drug craving, anxiety, misery, anorexia, and sleep disturbance [145]. Although use of dronabinol is associated with improved withdrawal symptoms and treatment retention, it has not been shown to reduce cannabis self-administration [145]. Another 11-week trial examining the effects of dronabinol on cannabis use disorder showed no statistical difference between the placebo group and those receiving dronabinol combined with motivational enhancement and relapse-prevention therapy [146]. However, there was a reduction in both groups, likely due to the addition of behavior therapy.

An issue of potential concern related to treating cannabis-dependent patients with dronabinol is the abuse potential. Abuse liability is influenced by the neurochemical effects as determined by the route of administration, drug concentrations, and the maximum drug concentrations. Thus, administration of dronabinol would be expected to produce much less reinforcement than smoked cannabis. Another advantage is that, unlike smoked cannabis, dronabinol is not associated with adverse pulmonary effects. Considering all of these factors, the benefits of dronabinol in the treatment of cannabis withdrawal appear to outweigh potential risks [3].

One study compared the treatment efficacy of oral dronabinol and the synthetic THC analogue nabilone [147]. The results showed that nabilone has a longer time to peak effect, is more sustained, has fewer negative cognitive effects, and results in greater mood enhancement characteristics compared to dronabinol. Also, the effects are more dose-related, perhaps making it the better option for cannabinoid-replacement withdrawal treatment. Although dronabinol also decreases symptoms of cannabis withdrawal, the drug is ineffective in preventing relapse [147]. Researchers hope nabilone can prove effective for both purposes in clinical trials.

### **Pharmacotherapy for Relapse Prevention**

A small study (11 participants) of daily cannabis users found that nabilone decreased reversed withdrawal-related irritability, sleep disturbances, and anorexia, though psychomotor skills were impaired [18]. Nabilone (8 mg per day) also decreased the rate of relapse, indicating the need for further research [18]. Another small study of 11 non-treatment-seeking participants examined the effects of zolpidem (a sedative hypnotic) versus a zolpidem/nabilone combination on three factors, each tested in a different phase of the study. Withdrawal-related symptoms were decreased in both groups, and the combination drug (zolpidem/nabilone) showed decreases in self-administration of cannabis but small increases



in certain abuse-related subjective capsule ratings [21]. More research is needed to determine the effectiveness of nabilone alone or in combination with other drugs.

In another study, 25 adult outpatients were randomized to either six weeks of placebo or divalproex, then switched to the alternate treatment for an additional six weeks. No significant between-groups differences were found in regards to treatment retention, with 38% of divalproex subjects and 33% of control subjects completing the entire study. Persons started on divalproex did not display better outcomes in terms of improvement in cannabis use or psychological symptoms than those started on placebo. All 25 patients had low blood levels of the study medications, suggesting poor compliance. However, retention during the first eight study weeks was high (>75%), suggesting the medication was discontinued because it was poorly tolerated in this population [21]. Other medications studied for alcohol relapse prevention, including bupirone, baclofen, and mirtazapine, have proven ineffective for cannabis relapse prevention [25; 37; 137].

N-acetylcysteine (NAC), an over-the-counter supplement, has been studied in adolescents with cannabis use disorder [139]. NAC is thought to reinstate normal glutamate activity that is disrupted by chronic cannabis use. The participants who received NAC (1,200 mg twice daily) and contingency management were more than twice as likely to have a negative drug screen compared to those receiving only contingency management [38]. However, a 12-week trial of NAC in more than 300 adults with cannabis use disorder did not show the same results. When compared to placebo, the NAC group had no statistically significant reduction in the results of urine cannabinoid tests [42]. Further research into the efficacy of NAC with regard to adolescents versus adults is warranted.

### **Pharmacotherapy of Cannabis Dependence in Patients with Comorbid Mental Illness**

Although clozapine is known to be effective in the treatment of patients with schizophrenia and substance use disorders, its side effect profile limits its clinical utility. A small pilot study comparing clozapine and the second-generation antipsychotic ziprasidone, both agents were found to decrease cannabis use [44]. Ziprasidone was associated with fewer side effects and better compliance with treatment than clozapine. The American Psychiatric Association recommends that patients diagnosed with schizophrenia and cannabis use disorder should be offered pharmacotherapies effective for the treatment of cannabis withdrawal and relapse prevention in addition to psychotherapy [46].

### **PSYCHOSOCIAL THERAPY**

Several psychosocial therapy modalities have been evaluated in the treatment of patients with cannabis use disorders.

#### **Individual Drug Counseling**

Cannabis users seeking therapy to quit may participate in standard counseling that is typically offered in community-based substance abuse clinics. Individual drug counseling emphasizes abstinence from cannabis and other drugs through use of self-help groups and a 12-step approach [31].

#### **Contingency Management**

Contingency management (CM) approaches to adult substance abuse are effective behavioral interventions to increase drug abstinence and other treatment goals when integrated with other effective psychosocial treatments [47]. Essentially, CM interventions use reinforcement or punishment contingencies to increase or decrease the frequency of predetermined therapeutic and behavioral objectives [19]. Contingency management programs have been found effective to decrease rates of relapse among patients in treatment for cannabis use disorders [47].

### Relapse Prevention

Relapse prevention assists patients with decreasing their vulnerability to relapse by addressing topics such as lifestyle balance and managing high-risk situations [135].

### Social Support

Social support is based on the necessity of group support for change. Topics discussed in the group setting include getting and giving support, dealing with denial and mood swings, and interacting with peers who continue to use cannabis [135].

### Brief Motivational Interviewing

In brief motivational interviewing, a therapist provides feedback from a comprehensive assessment using motivational interviewing techniques. The therapist also instructs subjects on cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) techniques that could be used to abstain from cannabis use [48; 135].

### Psychosocial Treatment of Adults

One study compared a cognitive-behavioral relapse-prevention treatment; a brief, two-session motivational intervention; and a delayed-treatment control group [48]. The active treatment interventions resulted in greater reductions in cannabis use than delayed treatment. Four months post-intake, participants in the two active groups reported reduced cannabis use compared to the delayed treatment group, reductions in frequency of use per day, lower number of dependence symptoms, and fewer problems related to cannabis use. At 16-month assessment, cannabis use increased in both active treatment groups but was lower than pretreatment levels. Urine drug screens were not obtained, and all drug use data was based on self-report and collateral verification.

In another study, 136 cannabis-dependent adults, 18 to 25 years of age, referred by the criminal justice system, were randomized to one of four treatment conditions. CM consistently produced positive effects in terms of treatment retention and cannabis use, both of which were specifically targeted. There

were few significant main effects for motivational enhancement therapy/cognitive-behavioral therapy (MET/CBT) over drug counseling. However, additional analysis suggested that a combination of CM and MET/CBT resulted in better outcomes than MET/CBT without CM and drug counseling plus CM. All three treatments were found to be significantly more effective than drug counseling without CM. Participants assigned to MET/CBT continued to reduce the frequency of their cannabis use through a six-month follow-up. The study population was noteworthy in that the participants were primarily young African American men with an average of five arrests by 21 years of age, 43% of whom met diagnostic criteria for antisocial personality disorder. Most had not completed high school and were unemployed [31].

In another study, efficacy of two brief interventions for cannabis-dependent adults across three study conditions was compared: two sessions of MET; nine sessions of multicomponent therapy (MET, CBT, and case management); and a delayed treatment control. The study followed 450 adult cannabis smokers with a diagnosis of cannabis dependence at baseline who were evaluated at 4, 9, and 15 months following treatment assignment. The nine-session intervention produced superior outcomes compared with the two-session treatment in terms of reductions in cannabis use and its consequences up to 12 months following treatment termination. The two-session treatment was more effective in use reduction than the control. Overall, the findings suggest that both substance abuse treatment programs and behavioral healthcare providers should consider making cannabis-specific treatment more available and accessible. The authors also conclude that cannabis-focused treatments may be necessary for this population to achieve abstinence or to significantly reduce cannabis use. Complete abstinence is not the only clinically meaningful outcome of treatment, and when given the opportunity, many cannabis abusers respond to treatment primarily by cutting back rather than quitting entirely [134].

A study of 90 cannabis-dependent adults seeking treatment randomly assigned participants to receive CBT, abstinence-based voucher incentives, or a combination of CBT and vouchers for 14 weeks. The authors found that, during treatment, abstinence-based vouchers were effective for facilitating prolonged periods of cannabis abstinence. CBT did not contribute to during-treatment abstinence, but it did enhance the post-treatment maintenance of the initial positive effect of vouchers. These results indicate that abstinence-based vouchers are a valuable treatment option, the use of which leads to greater rates of cannabis abstinence during treatment in comparison with a commonly used CBT for cannabis dependence [51].

The American Psychiatric Association notes that treatment of cannabis use disorder with CBT and MET may be improved with the concurrent use of CM strategies [46]. Combined treatment results in improved initial and long-term abstinence.

### Psychosocial Treatment of Adolescents

Approximately 3.9 million high school students (15.8%) used cannabis at least once in 2019 [9]. Among the 1.1 million adolescents who did not receive substance use treatment in the past year, 98.5% did not feel that they needed treatment, 0.9% felt that they needed treatment but did not make an effort to obtain it, 0.6% felt that they needed treatment and made an effort to obtain it, and only 1.5% felt that their use was problematic and sought help/treatment [8]. These findings suggest the need for interventions to increase motivation for change and encourage treatment entry for this population.

One study of the efficacy of psychosocial treatments in this patient population included 97 adolescents who had used cannabis at least nine times in the previous month. Participants were randomized

to either an immediate two-session motivational enhancement intervention or a three-month delayed treatment control. The majority (two-thirds) of the sample described themselves as in the pre-contemplation or contemplation stages of change regarding cannabis use. Cannabis use and negative consequences were assessed at baseline and at three-month follow-up, and the assessment battery was carefully constructed to not appear biased toward demanding change. Both groups significantly reduced cannabis use at the three-month follow-up, with an overall reduction in cannabis use by 16% (6 fewer days) over a 60-day period. Although reductions were modest and no differences between treatments were observed, the study succeeded in recruiting non-treatment-seeking adolescent cannabis smokers who were predominantly in the early stages of readiness for change, overcoming barriers in reaching adolescents who were frequent cannabis users [52].

Kamon et al. reported the results of a 14-week feasibility study of family-based CM with 19 adolescents 15 to 18 years of age [19]. The intervention consisted of a clinic-administered, abstinence-based incentive program; parent-directed CM targeting substance use and conduct problems; a clinic-administered incentive program targeting parental participation; and individual CBT for the adolescent patients. Twice-weekly urine and breath testing was conducted to monitor substance use. The adolescents attended an average of 10.3 of 14 weekly sessions; parents attended an average of 10.6 sessions. By the end of treatment, substance use, externalizing behaviors, and negative parenting behaviors had decreased. Based on results of the urine testing, abstinence increased from 37% at intake to 74% by the end of the study period; 53% of adolescents were abstinent 30 days post-treatment. The efficacy of a family-based CM model to treat adolescent substance use and conduct problems was demonstrated [19].

### Psychosocial Treatment of Patients with Comorbid Mental Illness

Cannabis is the most commonly used illicit drug among persons with mental illness and is associated with increased rates of recurrent psychiatric symptomatology and relapse [53]. Among adults 19 years of age or older in 2019, those with a past-year serious or any mental illness were more likely than those without mental illness to be users of marijuana (39.8% and 32.5% vs. 14.2%) [9]. Unfortunately, the amount and quality of research regarding the psychosocial treatment of these patients is limited. In one study, researchers conducted a randomized, single-blind controlled comparison of routine care with a program of routine care integrated with motivational interviewing, cognitive behavior therapy, and family or caregiver intervention in patients with comorbid schizophrenia and substance use disorder (including cannabis misuse) [53]. They found that the integrated treatment approach resulted in significantly greater improvement in patients' general functioning than routine care alone at the end of treatment and at one year follow-up [53]. Longer and more intensive treatment may be necessary for dually diagnosed patients, particularly those with chronic mental illness [54]. Randomized controlled trials of interventions within "real world" mental health systems among adults with severe mental disorders suggest that cannabis use is amenable to treatment in these settings among people with psychotic disorders. Additionally, trials of guided interventions among cannabis users indicate that while brief interventions are associated with reductions in cannabis use, longer interventions may be more effective. These trials suggest that treatment with antipsychotic medication is not associated with a worsening of cannabis cravings or use and may be beneficial [60]. More research with this population is necessary in order to draw definitive conclusions.

### 12-STEP/SELF-HELP THERAPY

Many persons addicted to cannabis lack the resources for inpatient or outpatient treatment for their substance abuse problem or may be in need of ongoing support following treatment. To meet these needs, self-help groups provide a vital resource for those seeking support for abstinence. Self-help groups are non-professional organizations operated by peers who share the same addictive disorder. Self-help group attendance is free [73].

The most successful self-help groups employ the 12-step program and are modeled after Alcoholics Anonymous (AA). These groups include Narcotics Anonymous (NA) and Marijuana Anonymous (MA). The 12-step model emphasizes acceptance of addiction as a chronic progressive disease that can be arrested through abstinence but not cured. Additional elements of the 12-step model include spiritual growth, personal responsibility, and helping other addicted persons. By inducing a shift in the consciousness of the addict, 12-step programs offer a holistic solution. Groups such as NA and MA are also a resource for emotional support and are perhaps more accurately classified as "mutual help" organizations [73; 74].

Spiritual beliefs and endorsement of the disease concept are not prerequisites for NA or MA attendance, and spiritual beliefs have not been found to cause external attribution for previous drug use or possible future lapse events [75].

#### Narcotics Anonymous (NA)

Relative to the more established AA, there are few studies published on NA. However, the studies that have been conducted reveal important information about how NA functions to help the new member abstain from drug use.



Improvement in psychological functioning as a result of NA involvement has been observed [80]. Studies have shown that individuals who have been off drugs and involved with NA for longer periods tend to have lower trait anxiety and higher self-esteem scores. Those who are abstinent for more than three years exhibit levels of anxiety and self-esteem similar to the general population [80].

Being active as an NA sponsor over a one-year period has been found to be strongly associated with substantial improvements in sustained abstinence rates, which suggests that providing direction and support to other newer addicts is a way to enhance the likelihood of one's own abstinence [82].

One study sought to explore the factors related to treatment retention in NA members [92]. The authors interviewed 12 NA members who had been recovered for more than two years. After gathering (and analyzing) data through purposeful sampling and recording and transcribing participants' interviews, two main areas related to treatment retention were revealed: personal-psychological factors (e.g., self-knowledge, change of attitude, self-confidence) and social factors (e.g., interaction with others, reforming social/familial relationships, receiving support). The authors concluded that providing the necessary special care and support following the user's elimination of physical attachment would lead to elimination of psychological dependence as well [92].

### **Marijuana Anonymous (MA)**

Marijuana Anonymous (MA), a self-help program specific to persons with a desire to stop using cannabis, was formed in California in 1989 by cannabis addicts who felt their addiction to cannabis was not taken seriously in other 12-step meetings. MA is modeled after AA and NA, and members use the same 12-step model. MA meetings can be found in 36 states in the United States and in many countries [99].

In a study of 1,288 male patients, participation in a 12-step program predicted maintenance of cannabis abstinence and the re-initiation of abstinence after a relapse [122]. Participation in 12-step groups during and after treatment has been associated with positive outcomes among substance users, including cannabis-dependent patients [123]. Clinicians should encourage 12-step group participation as an aspect of treatment. A study conducted by Laudet identified two major obstacles to 12-step program participation: motivation and readiness for change and the perceived need for help [123]. Other obstacles to participation include perceived convenience and scheduling issues. This underscores the importance of promoting motivation for change and the need to assess patient beliefs regarding experiences with 12-step programs on a case-by-case basis in order to find a good fit between patient needs and 12-step resources [123].

### **INTERVENTIONS FOR NON-ENGLISH-PROFICIENT PATIENTS**

For those who are not proficient in English, it is important that information regarding the use and potential abuse of cannabis and available resources be provided in their native language, if possible. When there is an obvious disconnect in the communication process between the practitioner and patient due to the patient's lack of proficiency in the English language, an interpreter is required. Interpreters can be a valuable resource to help bridge the communication and cultural gap between clients/patients and practitioners. Interpreters are more than passive agents who translate and transmit information from party to party. When they are enlisted and treated as part of the interdisciplinary clinical team, they serve as cultural brokers who ultimately enhance the clinical encounter. In any case in which information regarding diagnostic procedures, treatment options, and medication/treatment measures are being provided, the use of an interpreter should be considered. Print materials are also available in many languages, and these should be offered whenever necessary.

---

## PROGNOSIS

---

Lapse and relapse are common among cannabis-dependent outpatients, with relapse rates similar to those found in studies of alcohol, opiate, and smoking cessation. The relationship between lapse and relapse among 82 patients who achieved at least two weeks of abstinence during outpatient treatment for cannabis dependence was examined by Moore and Budney [134]. The authors found that 71% of those who were abstinent went on to exhibit full relapse, defined as four or more days of cannabis use per week.

Studies of treatment efficacy show that cannabis-dependent adults tend to respond well to a variety of interventions. Although continuous abstinence is a less common outcome, all psychosocial therapies tested demonstrate utility in reducing cannabis use when delivered in both individual and group sessions [134]. As noted, motivational enhancement therapy combined with CBT may enhance outcomes. However, low abstinence rates are an indicator of the difficulty in treating cannabis dependence by psychotherapies in outpatient settings. These suboptimal drug use outcomes suggest that continued development and testing of more effective treatments for cannabis dependence should remain a priority [51].

Comorbid mental disorders are also a risk factor for poorer outcomes. In particular, the presence of antisocial personality disorder is associated with increased rates of addictive and externalizing disorders, use of illicit substances in early adolescence, and rates of hyperactivity. These patients have a relatively poor prognosis for treatment outcome.

---

## CONCLUSION

---

Cannabis is a significant drug of recreation and abuse. It is nearly inevitable that healthcare professionals in a variety of settings will have contact with a patient who uses or has used cannabis. Therefore, an understanding of the acute and sustained effects associated with the drug will facilitate better patient care. Knowledge of possible therapeutic uses of the drug is also necessary, as cannabis has become a part of the treatment of some chronic diseases. The information provided in this course should allow clinicians to better address the use of cannabis in their patients as well as to discuss the role and effectiveness of cannabis in ameliorating symptoms associated with chemotherapy/cancer, AIDS, and other conditions.

## Works Cited

1. Winstock A, Munksgaard R, Davies E. Global Drug Survey: 2022 7-Year Drug Trend Report. Available at [https://www.globaldrugsurvey.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022WowReport\\_Final.pdf](https://www.globaldrugsurvey.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022WowReport_Final.pdf). Last accessed July 1, 2024.
2. Chayasirisobhon S. Mechanisms of action and pharmacokinetics of cannabis. *Perm J*. 2020;25:1-3.
3. Hart CL. Increasing treatment options for cannabis dependence: a review of potential pharmacotherapies. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2005;80(2):147-159.
4. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results from the 2022 National Survey on Drug Use and Health. Available at <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/reports/rpt42731/2022-nsduh-nnr.pdf>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
5. Pew Research Center. Most Americans Now Live in a Legal Marijuana State—And Most Have At Least One Dispensary in Their County. Available at <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2024/02/29/most-americans-now-live-in-a-legal-marijuana-state-and-most-have-at-least-one-dispensary-in-their-county>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
6. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. Text revision. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc.; 2022.
7. Hubbard JR, Franco SE, Onaivi ES. Marijuana: medical implications. *Am Fam Physician*. 1999;60(9):2583-2588, 2593.
8. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Results from the 2022 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Detailed Tables. Available at <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/report/2022-nsduh-detailed-tables>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
9. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Results from the 2019 NSDUH: Detailed Tables. Available at <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/report/2019-nsduh-detailed-tables>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
10. Caulkins JP. Changes in self-reported cannabis use in the United States from 1979 to 2022. *Addiction*. 2024; [Epub ahead of print].
11. National Center for Drug Abuse Statistics. Marijuana Addiction: Rates and Usage Statistics. Available at <https://drugabusestatistics.org/marijuana-addiction>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
12. Roehler DR, Smith H IV, Radhakrishnan L, et al. Cannabis-involved emergency department visits among persons aged <25 years before and during the COVID-19 pandemic—United States, 2019–2022. *MMWR*. 2023;72:758-765.
13. Sultan RS, Zhang AW, Olsson M, Kwizera MH, Levin FR. Nondisordered cannabis use among U.S. adolescents. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2023;6(5):e2311294.
14. Masroor A, Patel RS, Bhimanadham NN, et al. Conduct disorder-related hospitalization and substance use disorders in American teens. *Behav Sci (Basel)*. 2019;9(7):73.
15. Terry-McElrath YM, O'Malley PM, Patrick ME, Miech RA. Risk is still relevant: time-varying associations between perceived risk and marijuana use among US 12th grade students from 1991-2016. *Addict Behav*. 2017;74:13-19.
16. Roehler DR, Hoots BE, Holland KM, Baldwin GT, Vivolo-Kantor AM. Trends and characteristics of cannabis-associated emergency department visits in the United States, 2006–2018. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2022;232:109-288.
17. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Warns Consumers About the Accidental Ingestion by Children of Food Products Containing THC. Available at <https://www.fda.gov/food/alerts-advisories-safety-information/fda-warns-consumers-about-accidental-ingestion-children-food-products-containing-thc>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
18. Haney M, Cooper ZD, Bedi G, Vosburg SK, Comer SD, Foltin RW. Nabilone decreases marijuana withdrawal and a laboratory measure of marijuana relapse. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2013;38(8):1557-1565.
19. Kamon J, Budney A, Stanger C. A contingency management intervention for adolescent marijuana abuse and conduct problems. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2005;44(6):513-521.
20. Herrmann ES, Cooper ZD, Bedi G, et al. Effects of zolpidem alone and in combination with nabilone on cannabis withdrawal and a laboratory model of relapse in cannabis users. *Psychopharmacol*. 2016;233:2469-2478.
21. Levin FR, McDowell D, Evans SM, et al. Pharmacotherapy for marijuana dependence: a double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study of divalproex sodium. *Am J Addict*. 2004;13(1):21-32.
22. Farmer RF, Seeley JR, Kosty DB, et al. Internalizing and externalizing psychopathology as predictors of cannabis use disorder onset during adolescence and early adulthood. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2015;29(3):541-51.
23. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Lynskey MT, Madden PA. Early reactions to cannabis predict later dependence. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003;60(10):1033-1039.
24. de Wit H, Phillips TJ. Do initial responses to drugs predict future use or abuse? *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2012;36(6):1565-1576.
25. Balter RE, Cooper ZD, Haney M. Novel pharmacologic approaches to treating cannabis use disorder. *Curr Addict Rep*. 2014;1(2):137-143.
26. Bahji A, Stephenson C, Tyo R, Hawken ER, Seitz DP. Prevalence of cannabis withdrawal symptoms among people with regular or dependent use of cannabinoids: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(4):e202370.
27. Rooke SE, Norberg MM, Copeland J. Successful and unsuccessful cannabis quitters: comparing group characteristics and quitting strategies. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy*. 2011;6:30.

28. Bostwick MJ. Blurred boundaries: the therapeutics and politics of medical marijuana. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2012;87(2):172-186.
29. Verweij KJ, Zietsch BP, Lynskey MT, et al. Genetic and environmental influences on cannabis use initiation and problematic use: a meta-analysis of twin studies. *Addiction.* 2010;105(3):417-430.
30. Kendler KS, Jacobson KC, Prescott CA, Neale MC. Specificity of genetic and environmental risk factors for use and abuse/dependence of cannabis, cocaine, hallucinogens, sedatives, stimulants, and opiates in male twins. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2003;160(4):687-695.
31. Carroll KM, Easton CJ, Nich C, et al. The use of contingency management and motivational/skills-building therapy to treat young adults with marijuana dependence. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2006;74(5):955-966.
32. Califano JA Jr. The Grass Roots of Teen Drug Abuse. Available at <https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB922395791456485764>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
33. Secades-Villa R, Garcia-Rodríguez O, Jin CJ, Wang S, Blanco C. Probability and predictors of the cannabis gateway effect: a national study. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2015;26(2):135-142.
34. Rock EM, Parker LA. Constituents of *Cannabis sativa*. In: Murillo-Rodríguez E, Pandi-Perumal SR, Monti JM (eds). *Cannabinoids and Neuropsychiatric Disorders*. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology. Cham: Springer; 2021.
35. Martínez-Aguirre C, Carmona-Cruz F, Velasco AL, et al. Cannabidiol acts at 5-HT1A receptors in the human brain: relevance for treating temporal lobe epilepsy. *Front Behav Neurosci.* 2020;14:611278.
36. Stuyt E. The problem with the current high-potency THC marijuana from the perspective of an addiction psychiatrist. *Mo Med.* 2018;115(6):482-486.
37. Haney M, Hart CL, Vosburg SK, et al. Effects of baclofen and mirtazapine on a laboratory model of marijuana withdrawal and relapse. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2010;211(2):233-244.
38. Gray KM, Carpenter MJ, Baker NL, et al. A double-blind randomized controlled trial of N-acetylcysteine in cannabis-dependent adolescents. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2012;169(8):805-812.
39. U.S. Department of Justice. Drug Enforcement Administration Fact Sheet: Marijuana/Cannabis. Available at [https://www.dea.gov/sites/default/files/2020-06/Marijuana-Cannabis-2020\\_0.pdf](https://www.dea.gov/sites/default/files/2020-06/Marijuana-Cannabis-2020_0.pdf). Last accessed July 1, 2024.
40. National Cancer Institute. Cannabis and Cannabinoids. Available at <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/treatment/cam/hp/cannabis-pdq>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
41. Ashton CH. Pharmacology and effects of cannabis: a brief review. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2001;178:101-106.
42. Gray KM, Sonne SC, McClure EA, et al. A randomized placebo-controlled trial of N-acetylcysteine for cannabis use disorder in adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2017;177:249-257.
43. Abrams DI, Vizoso HP, Shade SB, Jay C, Kelly ME, Benowitz NL. Vaporization as a smokeless cannabis delivery system: a pilot study. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2007;82(5):572-578.
44. Schnell T, Koethe D, Krasnianski A, et al. Ziprasidone versus clozapine in the treatment of dually diagnosed (DD) patients with schizophrenia and cannabis use disorders: a randomized study. *Am J Addict.* 2014;23(3):308-312.
45. Ashton CH. Adverse effects of cannabis and cannabinoids. *Br J Anaesth.* 1999;83(4):637-649.
46. Kelly MA, Levin FR. Treatment of cannabis use disorder. In: Galanter M, Kleber HD, Brady KT (eds). *The American Psychiatric Publishing Textbook of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc.; 2015.
47. Kaminer Y, Bursleson JA, Burke R, Litt MD. The efficacy of contingency management for adolescent cannabis use disorder: a controlled study. *Subst Abus.* 2014;35(4):391-398.
48. Stephens RS, Roffman RA, Curtin L. Comparison of extended versus brief treatments for marijuana use. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2000;68(5):898-908.
49. Russo EB. Cannabinoids in the management of difficult to treat pain. *Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management.* 2008;4(1):245-259.
50. Grotenhermen F. Review of therapeutic effects. In: Grotenhermen F, Russo E (eds). *Cannabis and Cannabinoids: Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Therapeutic Potential*. New York, NY: Routledge; 2002: 123-142.
51. Budney AJ, Moore BA, Rocha HL, Higgins ST. Clinical trial of abstinence-based vouchers and cognitive-behavioral therapy for cannabis dependence. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2006;74(2):307-316.
52. Walker DD, Roffman RA, Stephens RS, Wakana K, Berghuis J, Kim W. Motivational enhancement therapy for adolescent marijuana users: a preliminary randomized controlled trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2006;74(3):628-632.
53. Barrowclough C, Haddock G, Wykes T, et al. Integrated motivational interviewing and cognitive behavioural therapy for people with psychosis and comorbid substance misuse: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ.* 2010;341:c6325.
54. Baker AL, Hides L, Lubman DI. Treatment of cannabis use among people with psychotic or depressive disorders: a systematic review. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2010;71(3):247-254.
55. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. *The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids: The Current State of Evidence and Recommendations for Research*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2017.
56. Hirvonen J, Goodwin RS, Li CT, et al. Reversible and regionally selective downregulation of brain cannabinoid CB1 receptors in chronic daily cannabis smokers. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2012;17(6):642-649.



57. Todaro B. Cannabinoids in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. 2012;10(4):487-492.
58. Robson P. Therapeutic aspects of cannabis and cannabinoids. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2001;178:107-115.
59. ProCon.org. Legal Medical Marijuana States and DC. Available at <https://medicalmarijuana.procon.org/legal-medical-marijuana-states-and-dc/>. Last accessed July 2, 2021.
60. Baker AL, Thornton LK, Hides L, Dunlop A. Treatment of cannabis use among people with psychotic disorders: a critical review of randomised controlled trials. *Curr Pharm Des*. 2012;18(32):4923-4937.
61. Bostwick JM, Reisfield GM, DuPont RL. Clinical decisions: medicinal use of marijuana. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368(9):866-868.
62. Parker LA, Rock E, Limebeer C. Regulation of nausea and vomiting by cannabinoids. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2011;163(7):1411-1422.
63. Machado Rocha FC, Stéfano SC, De Cássia Haiek R, et al. Therapeutic use of Cannabis sativa on chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting among cancer patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer Care(Engl)*. 2008;17(5):431-443.
64. Berlach DM, Shir Y, Ware MA. Experience with the synthetic cannabinoid nabilone in chronic noncancer pain. *Pain Med*. 2006;7(1):25-29.
65. Burns TL, Ineck JR. Cannabinoid analgesia as a potential new therapeutic option in the treatment of chronic pain. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2006;40(2):251-260.
66. Noyes R Jr, Brunk SF, Avery DA, Canter AC. The analgesic properties of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and codeine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1975;18(1):84-89.
67. Johnson JR, Burnell-Nugent M, Lossignol D, Ganae-Motan ED, Potts R, Fallon MT. Multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of THC:CBD extract and THC extract in patients with intractable cancer-related pain. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2010;39(2):167-179.
68. Portenoy RK, Ganae-Motan ED, Allende S, et al. Nabiximols for opioid-treated cancer patients with poorly-controlled chronic pain: a randomized, placebo-controlled, graded-dose trial. *J Pain*. 2012;13(5):438-449.
69. Cooper ZD, Abrama D. Considering abuse liability and neurocognitive effects of cannabis and cannabis-derived products when assessing analgesic efficacy: a comprehensive review of randomized-controlled studies. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 2019;45(6):580-595.
70. Graham LA. Management of spasticity revisited. *Age Ageing*. 2013;42(4):435-441.
71. Patti F, Chisari CG, Fernández Ó, et al. A real-world evidence study of nabiximols in multiple sclerosis patients with resistant spasticity: analysis in relation to the newly described “spasticity-plus syndrome”. *Eur J Neurol*. 2022;29(9):2744-2753.
72. LexiComp Online. Available at <https://online.lexi.com>. Last accessed July 2, 2024.
73. Humphreys K, Wing S, McCarty D, et al. Self-help organizations for alcohol and drug problems: toward evidence-based practice and policy. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2004;26(3):151-165.
74. Kranzler HR, Koob G, Gastfriend DR, Swift RM, Willenbring ML. Advances in the pharmacotherapy of alcoholism: challenging misconceptions. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2006;30(2):272-281.
75. Christo G, Franey C. Drug users’ spiritual beliefs, locus of control and the disease concept in relation to Narcotics Anonymous attendance and six-month outcomes. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 1995;38(1):51-56.
76. Haney M, Gunderson EW, Rabkin J, et al. Dronabinol and marijuana in HIV-positive marijuana smokers: caloric intake, mood, and sleep. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;45(5):545-554.
77. Prentiss D, Power R, Balmas G, et al. Patterns of marijuana use among patients with HIV/AIDS followed in a public health care setting. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;35(1):38-45.
78. Guzmán M. Cannabinoids: potential anticancer agents. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2003;3(10):745-755.
79. Pergam SA, Woodfield MC, Lee CM, et al. Cannabis use among patients at a comprehensive cancer center in a state with legalized medicinal and recreational use. *Cancer*. 2017;123(22):4488-4497.
80. Christo G, Sutton S. Anxiety and self-esteem as a function of abstinence time among recovering addicts attending Narcotics Anonymous. *Br J Clin Psychol*. 1994;33(Pt 2):198-200.
81. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Approves First Drug Comprised of an Active Ingredient Derived from Marijuana to Treat Rare, Severe Forms of Epilepsy. Available at <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-drug-comprised-active-ingredient-derived-marijuana-treat-rare-severe-forms>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
82. Crape BL, Latkin CA, Laris AS, Knowlton AR. The effects of sponsorship in 12-step treatment of injection drug users. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2002;65(3):291-301.
83. Lattanzi S, Brigo F, Trinka E, et al. Efficacy and safety of cannabidiol in epilepsy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Drugs*. 2018;78(17):1791-1804.
84. Pratt M, Stevens A, Thuku M, et al. Benefits and harms of medical cannabis: a scoping review of systematic reviews. *Syst Rev*. 2019;8:320.
85. Hauser W, Petzke F, Fitzcharles MA. Efficacy, tolerability and safety of cannabis-based medicines for chronic pain management: an overview of systematic reviews. *Eur J Pain*. 2018;22(3):55-470.

86. Iversen L. Cannabis and the brain. *Brain*. 2003;126(Pt 6):1252-1270.
87. Volkow ND, Baler RD, Compton WM, Weiss SR. Adverse health effects of marijuana use. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370(23):2219-2227.
88. Goncalves J, Rosado T, Soares S, et al. Cannabis and its secondary metabolites: their use as therapeutic drugs, toxicological aspects, and analytical determination. *Medicines (Basel)*. 2019;6(1):31.
89. Smith PH, Homish GG, Leonard KE, Collins RL. Marijuana withdrawal and aggression among a representative sample of U.S. marijuana users. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2013;132(1-2):63-68.
90. Johns A. Psychiatric effects of cannabis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2001;178:116-122.
91. De Aquino JP, Sherif M, Radhakrishnan R, Cahill JD, Ranganathan M, D'Souza DC. The psychiatric consequences of cannabinoids. *Clin Ther*. 2018;40(9):1448-1456.
92. Jalali R, Moradi A, Dehghan F, Merzai S, Alikhani M. The exploration of factors related to treatment retention in Narcotics Anonymous members: a qualitative study. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy*. 2019;14(1):14.
93. Melamede R. Cannabis and tobacco smoke are not equally carcinogenic. *Harm Reduction J*. 2005;2:21.
94. Callaghan RC, Allebeck P, Sidorchuk A. Marijuana use and risk of lung cancer: a 40-year cohort study. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2013;24(10):1811-1820.
95. Zhang LR, Morgenstern H, Greenland S, et al. Cannabis smoking and lung cancer risk: pooled analysis in the International Lung Cancer Consortium. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136(4):894-903.
96. Alvarez S. Do some addictions interfere with fertility? *Fertil Steril*. 2015;103(1):22-26.
97. Warner TD, Roussos-Ross D, Behnke M. It's not your mother's marijuana: effects on maternal-fetal health and the developing child. *Clin Perinatol*. 2014;41(4):877-894.
98. Silins E, Horwood LJ, Patton GC, et al. Young adult sequelae of adolescent cannabis use: an integrative analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2014;1(4):286-293.
99. Marijuana Anonymous. Available at <https://www.marijuana-anonymous.org>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
100. Meier M H, Caspi A, Ambler A, et al. Persistent cannabis users show neuropsychological decline from childhood to midlife. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012;109(40):E2657-E2664.
101. Cousijn J, Wiers RW, Ridderinkhof KR, van den Brink W, Veltman DJ, Goudriaan AE. Grey matter alterations associated with cannabis use: results of a VBM study in heavy cannabis users and healthy controls. *Neuroimage*. 2012;59(4):3845-3851.
102. Filbey FM, Aslana S, Calhoun VD. Long-term effects of marijuana use on the brain. *PNAS*. 2014;111(47):16913-16918.
103. Scott JC, Slomiak ST, Jones JD, et al. Association of cannabis with cognitive functioning in adolescents and young adults: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018;75(6):585-595.
104. Ashton CH, Moore PB. Endocannabinoid system dysfunction in mood and related disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2011;124(4): 250-261.
105. Lev-Ran S, Roerecke M, Le Foll B, George TP, McKenzie K, Rehm J. The association between cannabis use and depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychol Med*. 2014;44(4):797-810.
106. Degenhardt L, Hall W, Lynskey M. Exploring the association between cannabis use and depression. *Addiction*. 2003;98(11):1493-1504.
107. Hall W. Dissecting the causal anatomy of the link between cannabis and other illicit drugs. *Addiction*. 2006;101(4):472-473, 474-476.
108. Burns JK. Pathways from cannabis to psychosis: a review of the evidence. *Front Psychiatry*. 2013;4:128.
109. Hanna RC, Shalvoy A, Cullum CM, et al. Cognitive function in individuals with psychosis: moderation by adolescent cannabis use. *Schizophr Bull*. 2016;42(6):1496-1503.
110. Di Forti M, Morgan C, Dazzan P, et al. High-potency cannabis and the risk of psychosis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2009;195(6):488-491.
111. D'Souza DC, Perry E, MacDougall L, et al. The psychotomimetic effects of intravenous delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy individuals: implications for psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004;29(8):1558-1572.
112. Burgdorf JR, Kilmer B, Pacula RL. Heterogeneity in the composition of marijuana seized in California. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2011;117(1):59-61.
113. Leweke FM, Schneider U, Radwan M, Schmidt E, Emrich HM. Different effects of nabilone and cannabidiol on binocular depth inversion in Man. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 2000;66(1):175-181.
114. Lac A, Luk JW. Testing the Amotivational syndrome: marijuana use longitudinally predicts lower self-efficacy even after controlling for demographics, personality, and alcohol and cigarette use. *Prev Sci*. 2018;19(2):117-126.
115. Cogle JR, Hakes JK, Macatee RJ, Chavarria J, Zvolensky MJ. Quality of life and risk of psychiatric disorders among regular users of alcohol, nicotine, and cannabis: an analysis of the National Epidemiological Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC). *J Psychiatr Res*. 2015;66-67:135-141.
116. Butterworth P, Slade T, Degenhardt L. Factors associated with the timing and onset of cannabis use and cannabis use disorder: results from the 2007 Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Well-Being. *Drug Alcohol Rev*. 2014;33(5):555-564.

117. Dannon PN, Lowengrub K, Amiaz R, Grunhaus L, Kotler M. Comorbid cannabis use and panic disorder: short-term and long-term follow-up study. *Hum Psychopharmacol*. 2004;19(2):97-101.
118. Bergamaschi MM, Queiroz RH, Chagas MH, et al. Cannabidiol reduces the anxiety induced by simulated public speaking in treatment-naïve social phobia patients. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011;36(6):1219-1226.
119. Simonetto DA, Oxentenko AS, Herman ML, Szostek JH. Cannabinoid hyperemesis: a case series of 98 patients. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2012;87(2):114-119.
120. Kim HS, Anderson JD, Saghabi O, Heard KJ, Monte AA. Cyclic vomiting presentations following marijuana liberalization in Colorado. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2015;22(6):694-699.
121. Price SL, Fisher C, Kumar R, Hilgerson A. Cannabinoid hyperemesis syndrome as the underlying cause of intractable nausea and vomiting. *J Am Osteopath Assoc*. 2011;111(3):166-169.
122. Bonn-Millera MO, Zvolensky MJ, Moos RH. 12-step self-help group participation as a predictor of marijuana abstinence. *Addiction Res Theory*. 2011;19(1):76-84.
123. Laudet AB. Attitudes and beliefs about 12-step groups among addiction treatment clients and clinicians: toward identifying obstacles to participation. *Subst Use Misuse*. 2003;38(14):2017-2047.
124. Gorelick DA, Levin KH, Copersino ML, et al. Diagnostic criteria for cannabis withdrawal syndrome. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2012;123(1-3):141-147.
125. Budney AJ, Hughes JR, Moore BA, Vandrey R. Review of the validity and significance of cannabis withdrawal syndrome. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004;161(11):1967-1977.
126. Dawes MA, Liguori A, Dougherty DM. Cannabis withdrawal among adolescent cannabis users in an outpatient research setting. *Am J Addict*. 2006;15(6):485-486.
127. Hesse M, Thylstrup B. Time-course of the DSM-5 cannabis withdrawal symptoms in poly-substance abusers. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2013;13:258.
128. Chesney T, Matsos L, Couturier J, Johnson N. Cannabis withdrawal syndrome: an important diagnostic consideration in adolescents presenting with disordered eating. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2014;47(2):219-223.
129. Nguyen VH, Harley KG. Prenatal cannabis use and infant birth outcomes in the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System. *J Pediatr*. 2022;240:87-93.
130. Meier MH, Caspi A, Knodt AR, et al. Long-term cannabis use and cognitive reserves and hippocampal volume in midlife. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2022;179(5):362-374.
131. Dumont GJH. Nabiximols as a substitute for cannabis. *Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd*. 2020;164:D4578.
132. Lintzeris N, Bhardwaj A, Mills L, et al. Nabiximols for the treatment of cannabis dependence: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2019;179(9):1242-1253.
133. Werneck MA, Kortas GT, de Andrade AG, Castaldelli-Maia JM. A systematic review of the efficacy of cannabinoid agonist replacement therapy for cannabis withdrawal symptoms. *CNS Drugs*. 2018;32(12):1113-1129.
134. Moore BA, Budney AJ. Relapse in outpatient treatment for marijuana dependence. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2003;25(2):85-89.
135. McRae AL, Budney AJ, Brady KT. Treatment of marijuana dependence: a review of the literature. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2003;24(4):369-376.
136. Miller NS, Gold MS, Pottash AC. A 12-step treatment approach for marijuana (Cannabis) dependence. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 1989;6(4):241-250.
137. Nielsen S, Gowing L, Sabioni P, Le Foll B. Pharmacotherapies for cannabis dependence. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2019;1(1):CD008940.
138. Ghosh A, Basu D. Cannabis and psychopathology: the meandering journey of the last decade. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2015;57(2):140-149.
139. Balter RE, Cooper ZD, Haney M. Novel pharmacologic approaches to treating cannabis use disorder. *Curr Addict Rep*. 2014;1(2):137-143.
140. Penetar DM, Looby AR, Ryan ET, Maywalt MA, Lukas SE. Bupropion reduces some of the symptoms of marijuana withdrawal in chronic marijuana users: a pilot study. *Subst Abuse*. 2012;6:63-71.
141. Carpenter KM, McDowell D, Brooks DJ, Cheng WY, Levin FR. A preliminary trial: double-blind comparison of nefazodone, bupropion-SR, and placebo in the treatment of cannabis dependence. *Am J Addict*. 2009;18(1):53-64.
142. Haney M, Hart CL, Vosburg SK, et al. Marijuana withdrawal in humans: effects of oral THC or divalproex. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2004;29(1):158-170.
143. Bonnet U, Preuss UW. The cannabis withdrawal syndrome: current insights. *Subst Abuse Rehabil*. 2017;8:9-37.
144. Mason BJ, Crean R, Goodell V, et al. A proof-of-concept randomized controlled study of gabapentin: effects on cannabis use, withdrawal and executive function deficits in cannabis-dependent adults. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2012;37(7):1689-1698.

145. Martinez D, Triflieff P. A Review of Potential Pharmacological Treatments for Cannabis Abuse. Available at <https://www.asam.org/resources/publications/magazine/read/article/2015/04/13/a-review-of-potential-pharmacological-treatments-for-cannabis-abuse>. Last accessed July 1, 2024.
146. Levin FR, Mariani JJ, Pavlicova M, et al. Dronabinol and lofexidine for cannabis use disorder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Drug Alcohol Dep*. 2016;159:53-60.
147. Bedi G, Cooper ZD, Haney M. Subjective, cognitive and cardiovascular dose-effect profile of nabilone and dronabinol in marijuana smokers. *Addict Biol*. 2013;18(5):872-881.

### **Evidence-Based Practice Recommendations Citations**

- Fischer B, Russell C, Sabioni P, et al. Lower-risk cannabis use guidelines: a comprehensive update of evidence and recommendations. *Am J Public Health*. 2017;107(8):e1-e12. Available at <https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/full/10.2105/AJPH.2017.303818>. Last accessed July 26, 2024.
- Canadian Academy of Geriatric Psychiatry, Canadian Coalition for Seniors' Mental Health. *Canadian Guidelines on Cannabis Use Disorder Among Older Adults*. Toronto: Canadian Coalition for Seniors' Mental Health; 2019. Available at [https://ccsmh.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/New\\_Cannabis\\_Use\\_Disorder\\_ENG\\_WEB.pdf](https://ccsmh.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/New_Cannabis_Use_Disorder_ENG_WEB.pdf). Last accessed July 26, 2024.